S7135-1-4

# SOUTH CAROLINA
# DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

# ANNUAL REPORT
# 1990-1991

Printed Under The Direction Of The
State Budget And Control Board

# south carolina department of corrections

P.O. BOX 21787/4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD/COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29221-1787
TELEPHONE (803) 737-8555
PARKER EVATT, Commissioner


October 15, 1991

The Honorable Carroll A. Campbell
Governor of South Carolina
State House
Post Office Box 11369
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Dear Governor Campbell:

I am pleased to submit the Annual Report of the Board of Corrections and the Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections for the period July 1, 1990, to June 30, 1991.

As I begin my fifth year as Commissioner, it is a privilege to report to you that the level of professionalism at the Department of Corrections is outstanding, and the morale among the workforce is high. This Annual Report reflects the dedicated and conscientious effort made on behalf of the people of South Carolina by the 5,944 employees of the Department of Corrections during the past fiscal year. The Department, within the resources provided, successfully met the challenge to accommodate an ever increasing prison population and comply with statutory and judicial standards for a modern prison system. I am confident that, in the year ahead, both the employees and the leadership of the Department will be equal to these continuing tasks and the new challenges which face us.

The Annual Report contains information on the Department's statutory authority, history, correctional institutions, personnel, programs, and the inmate population (including extensive statistical data.) We hope the Report will be informative and useful to you, to Members of the General Assembly, and to others who require information about South Carolina's prison operations.

Very truly yours,

Parker Evatt

Parker Evatt

Encl: SCDC Annual Report, FY '90-91


BOARD OF CORRECTIONS
GOETZ B. EATON Anderson, S.C.
ROBERT M. HARRELSON Mullins, S.C.
NORMAN KIRKLAND Bamberg, S.C.
C. LOCK MCKINNON Lancaster, S.C.
MILTON SMITH Spartanburg, S.C.
GOV. CARROLL A. CAMPBELL, JR., Member Ex-Officio, Columbia, S.C.

# South Carolina Department of Corrections
# Annual Report
# Fiscal Year 1990-91

## Table of Contents


| Item | Page |
|---|---|
| Tables and Figures Listing | iii |
| South Carolina Board of Corrections | 1 |
| The Commissioner | 1 |
| Mission Statement | 2 |
| Visions and Values | 3 |
| Historical Perspective | 4 |
| Directory of Key Administrators | 8 |
| Organizational Chart | 10 |
| Department Organization | 11 |
| Institutions | 15 |
| Descriptive Listing | 16 |
| Location Map | 18 |
| Outstanding Employees | 19 |
| Significant Developments in 1991 | 20 |
| Plyler v. Evatt (originally Nelson v. Leeke) Highlights | 23 |
| Legislation | 25 |
| Fiscal Information | 26 |
| Grant Assistance | 28 |
| Publications and Documents | 30 |
| Inmate and Personnel Statistics | 33 |
| Appendices | 91 |

# Tables and Figures
# South Carolina Department of Corrections

**(Data are for Fiscal Year 1991, Unless Otherwise Noted)**
**(* As Of June 30, 1991)**


| Table | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Institutions and Centers | 16 |
| 2. | Expenditures | 27 |
| 3. | Per Inmate Costs, Fiscal Years 1981-1991 | 35 |
| 4. | Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1968-1991 | 37 |
| 5. | Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1968-1991 | 39 |
| 6. | Admissions and Releases | 41 |
| 7. | Distribution of Admissions by Committing County and Correctional Region | 44 |
| 8. | Offense Distribution of Inmates Admitted | 47 |
| 9. | Most Serious Offense of Inmates Admitted | 49 |
| 10. | Sentence Length Distribution of Inmates Admitted | 51 |
| 11. | Age Distribution of Inmates Admitted | 53 |
| 12. | Distribution by Committing Planning Districts of Inmates Admitted | 55 |
| 13. | Distribution by Committing Judicial Districts of Inmates Admitted | 57 |
| 14. | Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region of Inmate Population* | 60 |
| 15. | Offense Distribution of Total Inmate Population* | 63 |
| 16. | Most Serious Offense Distribution of Total Inmate Population* | 65 |
| 17. | Sentence Length Distribution of Total Inmate Population* | 67 |
| 18. | Age Distribution of Total Inmate Population* | 69 |
| 19. | Age at Time of Admission of Total Inmate Population* | 71 |
| 20. | Security Level Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population* | 73 |
| 21. | Committing Planning Districts of Total Inmate Population* | 75 |
| 22. | Committing Judicial Circuits of Total Inmate Population* | 77 |
| 23. | Remaining Time to Serve Before Expiration of Sentence of Total Inmate Poplulation* | 79 |
| 24. | Distribution of Time Served by Inmates Released | 81 |
| 25. | Distribution of Work Credits Earned and Type of Release for Inmates Released | 83 |
| 26. | Community Program Statistics | 84 |
| 27. | Armed Robbery Act and 20- and 30-Year Parole Eligibility Inmates | 85 |
| 28. | Death Row Statistics | 86 |
| 29. | Shock Incarceration Statistics | 87 |
| 30. | Distribution of Employees by Race, Sex and Type of Position | 88 |
| 31. | Distribution of Security Strength by Facility | 90 |

**Figure** **Page**


1. Organizational Chart....10
2. Location of Institutions and Centers....18
3. Per Inmate Costs, Fiscal Years 1981-1991....36
4. Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1968-1991....38
5. Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1968-1991....40
6. Distribution of Average Inmate Population by Type of Facility....42
7. Race and Sex of Inmates Admitted....43
8. Inmate Admissions by Committing County and Correctional Region....46
9. Offense Distribution of Inmates Admitted....48
10. Most Serious Offense of Inmates Admitted....50
11. Sentence Lengths of Inmates Admitted....52
12. Age Distribution of Inmates Admitted....54
13. Committing Planning Districts of Inmates Admitted....56
14. Committing Judicial Circuits of Inmates Admitted....58
15. Race and Sex of Inmates*....59
16. Committing Counties and Correctional Region of Total Inmate Population*....62
17. Offense Distribution of Total Inmate Population*....64
18. Most Serious Offense of Total Inmate Population*....66
19. Sentence Lengths of Total Inmate Population*....68
20. Age of Total Inmate Population*....70
21. Age at Time of Admission of Total Inmate Population*....72
22. Security Level of Total Inmate Population*....74
23. Committing Planning Districts of Total Inmate Population*....76
24. Committing Judicial Circuits of Total Inmate Population*....78
25. Remaining Time to Serve of Total Inmate Population*....80
26. Distribution of Time Served by Inmates Released....82
27. SCDC Employees by Race, Sex, and Type of Position....89


(Any data published in these tables and figures for prior years supersede those in previous reports.)

# South Carolina State Board of Corrections

In 1960, the General Assembly established a State Board of Corrections (to replace the Board of Directors of the Penitentiary) and charged them with governing the Department of Corrections. The Board is composed of seven members, six of whom are appointed by the Governor, one from each of the congressional districts of the State, upon the advice and consent of the Senate. The Governor is ex officio a member of the Board. Appointments by the Governor are for a term of six years, and the terms are staggered to promote continuity. (Reference: 24-1-40, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976.)

On June 30, 1991, the following distinguished citizens were serving on the Board of Corrections, with a total of 58 years of experience and service to the people of South Carolina in this capacity.

| Congressional District | Name | Residence | Date of Initial Appointment |
|---|---|---|---|
| First | Vacant | | |
| Second | Norman Kirkland, Vice Chairman | Bamberg | 1962 |
| Third | Goetz B. Eaton, Chairman | Anderson | 1981 |
| Fourth | Milton Smith | Spartanburg | 1989 |
| Fifth | C. Lock McKinnon, Secretary | Lancaster | 1987 |
| Sixth | Robert Harrelson | Mullins | 1990 |
| Ex officio | Governor Carroll A. Campbell | | |

Effective July 1, 1990, Robert M. Harrelson became the newest member of the Board of Corrections replacing Eugene N. Ziegler. The Board holds a regular meeting on the second Tuesday in each month, and special meetings may be called as necessary. The public and news media may attend regular meetings of the Board.

Pursuant to law, the Board employs a general Commissioner of the prison system who carries out the policy of the Board and has the authority to manage the affairs of the prison system.

# The Commissioner

**Parker Evatt** was appointed Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, effective September 1, 1987. Mr. Evatt is very familiar with the corrections field. He served from 1966 to 1987 as Executive Director of the Alston Wilkes Society, an organization dedicated to helping former prison inmates and their families establish new lives. During his 13 years as a member of the South Carolina House of Representatives, Mr. Evatt worked tirelessly for the betterment of the State's corrections system through provision of sufficient funding and appropriate legislation to deal with overcrowding, alternatives to prison sentences, and enabling legislation for various prison programs and services. In addition to a bachelor's degree from the University of South Carolina, Mr. Evatt earned his master's in Criminal Justice from USC's College of Criminal Justice.

# Mission Statement

**It is the mission of the South Carolina Department of Corrections to:**

Protect the public by maintaining those persons remanded to its custody, in the least restrictive, most cost-effective environment consistent with public safety.

Provide humane supervision and conditions of confinement in accordance with the South Carolina Department of Corrections' constitutional and statutory mandates and with the American Correctional Association's Standards.

Provide programs and services which are intended to enhance the community re-integration, the emotional stability, and the economic self-sufficiency of those persons placed under the jurisdiction of the South Carolina Department of Corrections.

Promote efficiency and cost-effectiveness in correctional operations and administer all aspects of the Department in a fair and equitable manner, while providing for the safety and general welfare of employees and inmates.

Comply with legislative, judicial, and executive directives at all times, and ensure that the constitutional rights of those under custody or control of the South Carolina Department of Corrections are maintained.

Develop goals, objectives, and plans that implement the mission of the South Carolina Department of Corrections and review them annually.

# SCDC Vision and Values

## OUR VISION

To be the best Corrections Agency in the nation providing a balance of services to both the public and the offenders.

## OUR VALUES

### Professionalism

We are committed to excellent performance in every aspect of our work. As primary goals, we pursue efficiency and effectiveness in our services and quality in our work, recognizing the essential role of two-way communication in the successful achievement of these goals.

### Respect for the Individual

We uphold the dignity of each individual and recognize that the success of the organization is dependent upon the combined efforts and contributions of each person. We are committed to ensuring that everyone is treated with courtesy, understanding, and respect.

### Ethical Behavior

We expect honesty, integrity, and moral behavior as essential parts of our performance, both on and off the job. We recognize that our effectiveness is directly dependent upon the trust which we earn through ethical behavior.

### Openness to Change

We accept change as a positive force. We view our daily working environment as one which not only accepts, but requires, informed risk taking and change. We adapt not only to changing technologies and opportunities, but also to the changing needs of those we serve.

### A Safe and Positive Environment

We are committed to providing a safe and positive environment. We affirm the right of each individual to a clear sense of Agency direction, proper recognition for accomplisments, and encouragement with opportunity for personal and professional development.

# Historical Perspective

## Gateway to the 21st Century

The journey through the last decade of the 20th century promises to be more challenging to the Department of Corrections than any definable period in the last 124 years. On June 29, 1991, the inmate jurisdictional population was at an all-time high (18,778) as was the number of inmates in corrections' facilities (16,501). Despite projections that the inmate population may reach 23,701 in 1995, the Department's need for $105 million in the current bond to build new prisons was not acted upon by the General Assembly.

The modern era of corrections in South Carolina began in 1960 when the General Assembly established the Department of Corrections "to implement and carry out the policy of the State with respect to its prison system." The State Board of Corrections was established and empowered to employ a Commissioner of the prison system, "who shall possess qualifications and training which suit him to manage the affairs of a modern penal institution." That anticipated model penal system has come a long way in the last three decades. Changes since 1960 have far surpassed the corrections evolution experienced in the preceding 100 years.

The General Assembly, in 1866, recognized the unsuitable conditions prevailing under county supervision of convicts. Control of convicted and sentenced felons was transferred to the state, and the State Penitentiary was established. For almost 100 years, the State continued to experiment - as other states were doing - with various corrections programs. Work, for example, was considered to be of a beneficial nature. It could help defray the cost of prison operations, keep inmates busy and out of trouble, and perhaps even teach them a trade which would stand them in good stead when their sentences were finished. Education was also looked upon favorably at times and programs were begun (and later terminated) to educate prisoners. Religious instruction was also authorized. Separate facilities for young boys, young girls, women, and physically and mentally ill inmates were established.

As the decades rolled on, the forty-six counties throughout the state faced a need for labor for building and maintaining roads. The General Assembly frequently passed laws to accommodate the counties, and county supervisors had full authority to choose either to retain convicts for road construction or to transfer them to the State. By 1930, the local prison system, or what is more commonly known as the "chain gang," was in full swing, coexisting with the state system which was represented by the state Penitentiary. As in most other aspects of South Carolina life, county prison conditions depended heavily on the wealth of the county, and the skills and knowledge of county officials. Inevitably, unequal conditions resulted, and there was no uniformity in keeping abreast of changing correctional philosophy. Even with the establishment of the Department of Corrections in 1960, the dual-system of State and county prisons continued. Such critical problems as adequate planning and programming, efficient resource utilization and equitable distribution of rehabilitative services were not comprehensively addressed.

An Adult Corrections Study, completed in May 1973, by the Office of Criminal Justice Programs in the Governor's Office, gave major impetus to coming to grips with South Carolina's corrections problems. The first major step was the closure of county prison operations. Legislation in 1974 gave the State jurisdiction over all adult offenders with sentences exceeding 90 days, and counties were required to transfer any such prisoners in their facilities to the State for custody. Along with the prisoners, some county prison facilities were transferred to the State; however, many of these proved unsatisfactory for long-term use. Assumption of the custody responsibility for county

prisoners and the closing of many local prison systems worsened the over-crowded conditions in State facilities. The Department of Corrections began to plan for the regionalization of SCDC operations. In 1974, two Regional Correctional Administrators were appointed and plans proposed for a number of regional, community-based facilities. The 1977 Comprehensive Growth and Capital Improvements Plan laid the groundwork for the reality which existed in the late 1980s: three correctional regions, each with a number of community-based prisons and work centers assigned to them for administrative and operational oversight. (These are described in other parts of this Annual Report.)

## Overcrowding - A Way of Life

The movement to regionalization was a difficult one for many reasons, not the least of which was the unprecedented increase in crime in South Carolina, as elsewhere in the nation. Fiscal year 1975 was a key year; when it ended there was a 53 percent increase in the number of prisoners held in State institutions (5,658, up from 3,693 at the end of June1974). The increased crime rate, the transfer of county-held inmates to the State, and the legislative mandate for all long-term (over 90 days) prisoners to be under SCDC jurisdiction, literally pushed the State system to the breaking point. The population in State institutions has increased every year since 1968 (as reflected in Tables 4 and 5 in this report.)

Prison overcrowding, or insufficient bedspaces to accommodate the incarcerated population, became a "way-of-life" problem for the Department of Corrections, and, in effect, for the State of South Carolina. The problem existed even when the county-state dual prison system was in vogue. (The overcrowding problem is not unique to the Palmetto State, as the federal prison system and other states have experienced the same escalation in the growth of prisoners.)

## Alternative Programs and Harsher Penalties

Several early release programs were developed in the late '70s and early '80s in an effort to reduce the prison overcrowding problem. An Extended Work Release Program authorized by the legislature in 1977 allows qualified offenders to live and work in the community under intensive supervision during the final phase of their sentences. A year later the Litter Control Act established an Earned Work Credit Program as a means of reducing the amount of time that had to be served by inmates engaged in productive work while in prison. In 1980, two "good-time" measures were consolidated and additional time off a sentence was allowed for inmates with clear disciplinary records while in prison.

In 1981, legislation creating an independent correctional school district for SCDC inmates was signed into law. The long-range goals were increased state funding on a per pupil basis (realized in fiscal year 1985), and enhancement of the quality and scope of educational services to inmates through improved standards and accreditation.

The year 1982 saw implementation of the Community Corrections Act which established the Supervised Furlough Program (permits carefully screened inmates to live and work in local communities under supervision), and reduced the time to be served before parole eligibility for non-violent offenders from one-third of the sentence to one-fourth. A year later, the Prison Overcrowding Powers Act authorized the Governor to declare a state of emergency when certain conditions of overcrowding existed and to order the sentences of qualified offenders reduced to effect the immediate release of some prisoners. Subsequent amendments to this Act, principally in the Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvement Act of 1986, changed the procedure to allow the release of a set number of prisoners, rather than advance the release date of all eligible prisoners.

The 1980s also brought increased public concern for the rights of victims of crime. In the mid-eighties, the General Assembly responded by passing laws which levied harsher penalties (particularly

for repeat offenders or those who committed violent crimes), limiting parole eligibility for repeat and violent offenders, increasing the minimum sentence for certain crimes. Offenders convicted of burglary and murder were particularly singled out.

The Omnibus Criminal Justice Improvement Act revised several early release provisions. Eligibility for parole, supervised furlough and earned work credits programs were made more restrictive. An "enhancement" measure was added to the Code of Laws whereby anyone convicted of a violent crime who was in possession of a firearm or knife has an additional five years added to his sentence. This "flat time" has to be served without reduction of any sort.

The Act offered a weapon to reduce long-term incarceration prospects for some offenders.

A ninety-day shock probation program was instituted for first-time youthful offenders, as were restitution centers. These programs came on-line during fiscal year 1987-88, with the Department of Corrections operating a 96 bed unit for male probationers and a 24 bed unit for female probationers.

(Two 96-bed restitution centers were being managed by the Department in Columbia and Spartanburg for the Department of Probation, Parole and Community Services as fiscal year 1989-90 came to a close.)

Legislative changes in June, 1990, changed "shock probation" to "shock incarceration," and gave the Department of Corrections a major role in the selection of offenders to enter the programs being conducted at Wateree River Correctional Institution for males and the Women's Correctional Center for females. The Department will screen incoming inmates and assign willing offenders to the program, and will also evaluate potential participants on behalf of circuit court judges. On March 18, 1991, the Department doubled the capacity of the male shock incarceration unit at Wateree to accommodate a total of 192 inmates.

As can be seen from the foregoing, the modern era has been a mixture of: prison overcrowding, early release programs and mechanisms, increased crime rates in certain offenses, a tougher attitude toward criminals from the public and the legislature, and increased admissions and longer times served. The net effect has been an exacerbation of the prison overcrowding problem, despite major steps to alleviate it.

## Managing Change

The Department has opened nine new prisons since 1980, and four were under construction as fiscal year 1990-91 ended. One of these, a new women's prison at Greenwood, S.C., was originally scheduled to open in April 1991, but a combination of weather and construction problems postponed the opening date until September. Unavoidable delays were also being experienced with the other three major projects and estimated opening dates are at year-end: May-June 1993.

The pressure on the Department to be prepared to handle an even larger number of inmates than these four projects would accommodate necessitated some bold recommendations to the Governor and General Assembly. Working in cooperation with the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services, the Department proposed an Adult Criminal Offender Management System designed to control the capacity of the state's adult prisons.

The OMS would divert from prison to alternative sanctions carefully selected non-violent inmates to keep the number of prisoners consistent with the Department's available beds. These highly supervised programs would include house arrest, restitution centers, day reporting centers, public service work and substance abuse centers.

Upon enactment and full funding, the OMS would reduce both prison construction and escalating operating costs. By preventing the prison system population from exceeding one hundred percent of capacity at high count, the Department also sought to preclude federal intervention in state prison management on the basis of overcrowding. At year-end, the South Carolina Senate had adopted the OMS legislation but the matter was held up in the House of Representatives.

In addition to the OMS, the 1991 Bond Bill was not adopted prior to the end of the legislative session in June. Speculation ensued that the Governor would call the General Assembly back into session to adopt ethics legislation and that the bond bill might be considered also. The $275 million (for all state agencies) bill would provide approximately $105 million for the Department of Corrections. This is less than one-fourth of the $466 million originally requested by the Department for new prison construction and upgrading of existing facilities before 1995. The adoption of the OMS would certainly reduce future SCDC capital and operating costs.

The Department's plans to construct housing units for counties to hold short-term prisoners, both convicted and awaiting trial, by adopting the department's 96-bed prototypical facility and using inmate labor was on hold as the year ended. Efforts were underway to resolve conflicts between the authorizing legislation and other parts of the South Carolina Code of Laws.

# Directory of Key Administrators

(As announced through June 30, 1991)

(*Change from last Annual Report)

## Headquarters

Commissioner.....Parker Evatt

Executive Assistant for Legislative Affairs.....Sterling W. Beckman

Executive Assistant.....Sandra S. Jeffcoat

Office of General Counsel.....Larry C. Batson

Executive Assistant, Legal Settlements & Compliance.....Laurie A. Osler

Director, Division of Management Services.....Rallie M. Seigler

Director, Division of Public Affairs.....Robyn Zimmerman*

Director, Division of Audits, Inspections and Inmate Affairs.....Blake E. Taylor, Jr.

Deputy Commissioner for Administration.....Hubert M. Clements

Administrative Services Manager.....Connie M. Riley

Director, Division of Resource & Information Management.....Lorraine T. Fowler

Director, Division of Personnel Administration.....Sam D. O'Kelley

Director, Division of Industries.....Tony Ellis

Director, Division of Support Services.....Francis X. Archibald*

Director, Division of Training & Staff Development.....Lew Jernigan*

Director, Division of Budget & Planning.....Glen Franz

Deputy Commissioner for Operations.....William D. Catoe

Administrative Services Manager.....Yvonne W. Holley

Director of Security.....Joe R. Martin

Director, Division of Inmate Operations & Control.....David L. Bartles

Director, Division of Construction, Engineering & Maintenance.....William H. Harmon

Deputy Commissioner for Program Services.....Milton Kimpson

Administrative Services Manager.....Betty Robinson

Director, Division of Community Services.....Tony L. Strawhorn

Director, Division of Classification.....Sammie E. Brown

Director, Division of Human Services.....William J. Deemer

Director, Division of Educational Services.....H. Layne Coleman

Director, Division of Health Services.....Patricia B. Satterfield

## Correctional Institutions

Appalachian Correctional Region

Regional Administrator.....Donald F. Dease

Deputy Regional Administrator.....Robert W. Donlin

Blue Ridge Work/Pre-Release Center, Superintendent.....James H. Whitworth

Catawba Work Center, Superintendent.....R. Brien Ward

Cross Anchor Correctional Institution, Warden.....Phoebe B. Johnson

Dutchman Correctional Institution, Warden.....Martha A. Wannamaker

Givens Youth Correction Center, Warden.....Robert H. Mauney

Greenwood Correctional Center, Warden.....Frankie L. Rickenbaker

**Leath Correctional Institution.....E. Richard Bazzle*

Livesay Work Center, Superintendent.....Robert L. Rice

McCormick Correctional Institution, Warden.....Richard S. Lindler

Northside Correctional Center, Warden.....Frank H. Horton, Jr.

Perry Correctional Institution, Warden.....S.R. (Dick) Witkowski

Midlands Correctional Region

Regional Administrator.....James L. Harvey
Deputy Regional Administrator.....Kenneth D. McKellar
Deputy Regional Administrator.....Jerry D. Spigner
Aiken Youth Correction Center, Warden.....George T. Hagan
Broad River Correctional Institution, Warden.....George N. Martin III
Byrnes Clinical Center, Warden.....Robert E. Elgin
Campbell Work Center, Superintendent.....George A. Roof
Central Correctional Institution, Warden.....William C. Wallace
Goodman Correctional Institution, Warden.....Louisa D. Brown
Kirkland Correctional Institution, Warden.....Laurie F. Bessinger
Lower Savannah Work Center, Superintendent.....John H. McCall
Manning Correctional Institution, Warden.....Rickie Harrison
State Park Correctional Center, Warden.....Judy C. Anderson
Stevenson Correctional Institution, Warden.....George Hampton, Jr.
Walden Correctional Institution, Warden.....Ed M. McCrory
Wateree River Correctional Institution, Warden.....John H. Carmichael, Jr.
Watkins Pre-Release Center, Superintendent.....Carl J. Frederick
Women's Correctional Center, Warden.....Vannie M. Toy

Coastal Correctional Region

Regional Administrator.....Lucious J. Allen
Deputy Regional Administrator.....Clyde R. Metts
Allendale Correctional Institution, Warden.....Robert E. Currie
Coastal Work Center, Superintendent.....Frank A. Smith
Evans Correctional Institution, Warden.....Flora B. Boyd
Lieber Correctional Institution, Warden.....P. Douglas Taylor
MacDougall Correctional Institution, Warden.....Edsel T. Taylor
Palmer Work Center, Acting Superintendent.....Ruby Williams*

**Under Construction

# Figure 1
## South Carolina Department of Corrections Organizational Structure
## (As Announced Through June 30, 1991)



# Department Organization

The South Carolina Department of Corrections is governed by the State Board of Corrections, a seven-member board, six of whom are appointed by the Governor, one from each of the six Congressional Districts of the State, upon the advice and consent of the Senate. The Governor is ex officio member of the Board. The Board is responsible for setting overall policy.

The Department is headed by a Commissioner, appointed by the Board of Corrections, who administers Board policy and manages the day-to-day affairs of a modern penal system.

The Department is organized into three primary functional offices, or areas of responsibility: administration, operations, and program services, each of which is headed by a Deputy Commissioner. Other specific staff functions are attached to the Commissioner's Office, as described below.

## Office Of The Commissioner

Within the office of the Commissioner are the following specialized administrative staff support divisions/offices:

**Division of Public Affairs**

Responsible for all public information, media contacts and public relations; it includes the crime prevention programs and the victim-witness liaison.

**Executive Assistant for Legislative Affairs**

Conducts liaison with governmental offices, the legislature, correctional institutions, and others as required. Keeps the Commissioner informed of significant and related legislation, programs and procedures.

**Office of General Counsel**

Provides legal advice to the Board, the Commissioner, and the Department, and it represents the Department in legal actions. The Office of Legal Settlements and Compliance is responsible for monitoring compliance with the terms of any court orders or consent decrees, in particular, the Plyler v Evatt consent decree, under which the Department is currently operating.

**Division of Management Services**

Administers efforts to accredit individual prisons by the Commission on Accreditation, and is responsible for management audits, investigations/internal affairs, the arts and crafts marketing program and directs the policy-change process for the Department. Also directs SCDC's extensive Volunteer Program and Unit Management efforts.

**Division of Audits, Inspections and Inmate Affairs**

Responsible for conducting annual inspections of all local detention facilities. In addition, the Division conducts internal audits, and investigates inmates' complaints.

## Office Of The Deputy Commissioner For Administration

The Deputy Commissioner for Administration directs the budgeting, planning, industries, purchasing, food services, personnel, financial accounting, offender records management, computer operations, and training programs throughout the Department. These functions are carried out through six divisions:

**Division of Budget and Planning**

Prepares all budget requests for submission to the Budget and Control Board and Legislature, reconciles expenditures with appropriations, and prepares all capital improvement plans and requests for bond approval. The division also conducts monitoring, allocation and internal control of budgets.

**Division of Industries**

Manages prison industries. Its products and services include the state motor vehicle license tags, furniture refinishing and repair, laundry, and apparel.

**Division of Support Services**

Directs purchasing, food services, and the operation of the commissary, canteens, and farms.

**Division of Personnel Administration**

Performs all the activities associated with recruiting and hiring new employees, maintaining personnel records, authorizing payrolls, and placing student interns.

**Division of Resource and Information Management**

Manages financial accounting; offender records; offender management; statistical analysis and operations research; fiscal and personnel systems; operations; telecommunications; and the Corrections Information Center.

**Division of Training and Staff Development**

Provides pre-employment and in-service training for all employees.

## Office Of The Deputy Commissioner For Operations

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner For Operations directs the management of all prison operations, security, construction, engineering, and facility, equipment, and vehicle maintenance throughout the prison system. Within the Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Operations are the three regional offices for prison operations (Appalachian, Midlands, and Coastal) and the following divisions and offices:

**Division of Construction, Engineering and Maintenance**

Manages all phases of new construction, and acts as liaison with architects, engineers and contractors working on construction projects. Other activities include management and operation of the physical plants, i.e. institutions, other buildings and facilities. This Division has the primary responsibility for implementation of the capital improvements plan and maintenance of all SCDC facilities.

**Division of Inmate Operations and Control**

Oversees certain activities related to the movement, status, and number of inmates in SCDC facilities and in designated facilities, and administers the Interstate Corrections Compact. This Division also contracts with counties for inmate work crews.

**Office of Security**

The Director of Security is responsible for the Department's readiness to respond to emergency situations such as riots or hostage-taking. This office ensures that the special response teams, e.g., Reserve Emergency Platoons, Situation Control Teams, and Corrections Emergency Response Teams, are properly trained. This office also conducts regular security audits of high security institutions.

**Transportation Management Branch**

Responsible for the purchasing of all vehicles and parts, vehicle repair and safety, and for management of the fleet owned and operated by the Department of Corrections. This Branch is also responsible for all radio communications.

**Institutional Operations: Regional Offices**

The state is divided into three geographical regions to facilitate management and operations. Each of the regions is headed by a Regional Administrator who directs prison operations within his region. The regions are: Appalachian, Midlands, and Coastal. Figure 2, page 18, outlines the counties which comprise each region.

## Office Of The Deputy Commissioner For Program Services

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Program Services directs the classification, health, mental health, education, and community employment programs for inmates. Delivering a broad spectrum of program services under the supervision of this office during this fiscal year were the following divisions:

**Division of Classification**

Directs the classification of inmates for security and custody purposes. This Division is also responsible for all institutional services for inmates sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act.

**Division of Human Services**

Administers and provides a variety of programs and services directed at improving offenders' mental health, and emotional well being. The programs include: psychological assessment; social work services; transitional care units for intermediate mental health care; substance abuse therapy; religious services and pastoral counseling; and athletic and other recreational activities.

**Division of Health Services**

Renders medical, dental and psychiatric care to the inmate population. Through this Division, the S.C. Department of Corrections operates 24-hour out-patient clinics at the large institutions, several infirmaries, and utilizes a floor at the Byrnes Clinical Center, Department of Mental Health, for general hospital care. The Department operates seven dental clinics. It has the Gilliam Psychiatric Hospital for acute psychiatric care. The Department provides most of the health care services with in-house staff; however, it contracts for health care services at seven institutions.

**Division of Educational Services**

This Division is also known as "Palmetto Unified School District #1" and administers and provides academic, vocational, special and career education and library services to the inmate population at 16 institutions, with satellites at pre-release and work centers. The School District offers a variety of vocational programs, including auto mechanics, carpentry, plumbing, and heavy equipment operation and repair, and academic programs, including GED preparation.

**Division of Community Services**

This Division oversees the custody and supervision of certain offenders in community programs, namely, Work Release and Extended Work Release, monitors Parole's supervision of offenders in Supervised Furlough and other early release programs, and provides SCDC's law enforcement liaison.

# Institutions

The South Carolina Department of Corrections operated thirty-one correctional institutions as of June 30, 1991. These range in size from the largest (and oldest) Central Correctional Institution with an operating capacity of 1,382, to the smallest, Greenwood Work Camp, with an operating capacity of 86.

The thirty-one institutions are spread over three Correctional Regions and include: twenty-eight prisons for male offenders, one for female offenders, one medical unit for male and female inmates*, and one (State Park Correctional Center) that has three units - one for male geriatric and handicapped prisoners, one for female geriatric and handicapped prisoners, and one for females on work release. Also, one 96-bed work camp was opened during the fiscal year making the total number three.

Eight of the institutions are classified as security Level IV and V, five as security Level III, seven as security Level II, one as security Level I and II, and eight pre-release/work centers classified as security Level I facilities.

Each of the three Correctional Regions has a facility for intake processing, known as a Reception and Evaluation Center. These are adjacent to medium/maximum security institutions, i.e., Lieber, Perry, and Broad River Correctional Institutions.

Effective January 1, 1988, the institutional capacities for minimum and medium/maximum security institutions changed as agreed upon in the Plyler v. Evatt (originally Nelson v. Leeke) Consent Decree, which the Department and the State of South Carolina entered into in 1985. As of June 30, 1991, the Department's "safe and reasonable" operating capacity was set at 15,472**. This capacity is subject to change as requirements of the Decree are met.

Additional details about these institutions, including average daily populations, design and safe and reasonable capacities, may be found in Table 1. Their location within South Carolina is depicted in Figure 2.

---

*(Located at the S.C. Department of Mental Health's James F. Byrnes Medical Center, Columbia, S.C.)

**This capacity figure was "certified" by the Budget and Control Board at the beginning of the quarter (April 1, 1991); however, additional bedspaces were added during the quarter and by June 30, 1991, the Safe and Reasonable Capacity was actually 15,566. (This figure was certified by the B & C Board on July 1, 1991.)

# Table 1
## Institutions and Centers of the S.C. Department of Corrections

| INSTITUTIONS/CENTERS | Degree of Security | Description of Resident Population | Avg. Daily Population (ADP) FY 1991 | Design Capacity (DC) | ADP As A Percentage of DC | Safe and Reasonable Capacity (SRC) | ADP As A Percentage of SRC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Appalachian Correctional Region | | | | | | | |
| Blue Ridge Work/Pre-Release Center | Level I (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates on pre-release or work release | 193 | 143 | 135 | 208 | 93 |
| Catawba Work Center | Level I (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates on work release | 159 | 86 | 185 | 144 | 110 |
| Cross Anchor Correctional Institution | Level III (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 586 | 528 | 111 | 528 | 111 |
| Dutchman Correctional Institution | Level III (Medium) | Male, ages 17 and up | 519 | 528 | 98 | 528 | 98 |
| Givens Youth Correction Center | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--primarily Youthful Offenders, ages 17-25 | 122 | 68 | 179 | 120 | 102 |
| Greenwood Correctional Center | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 93 | 48 | 194 | 86 | 108 |
| Greenwood Work Camp | Level II (Minimum) | Female, ages 17 and up | 86 | 96 | 90 | 96 | 90 |
| Livesay Work Center | Level I (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates on work release | 93 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 97 |
| McCormick Correctional Institution | Level IV & V (Medium/ Maximum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 1,093 | 600 | 182 | 1,104 | 99 |
| Northside Correctional Center | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 341 | 286 | 119 | 286 | 119 |
| Northside Work Camp | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 55 | 96 | 57 | 96 | 57 |
| Perry Correctional Institution* | Level IV & V (Medium/ Maximum) | Male, ages 17 and up--includes inmates undergoing reception processing | 882 | 576 | 153 | 768 | 115 |
| Midlands Correctional Region | | | | | | | |
| Aiken Youth Correction Center | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--primarily Youthful Offenders | 303 | 224 | 135 | 275 | 110 |
| Broad River Correctional Institution | Level IV & V (Medium Maximum) | Male, ages 17 and up--includes inmates undergoing reception processing | 1,308 | 792 | 165 | 1,236 | 106 |
| Holding Unit | | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates undergoing reception processing | | 82 | | 82 | |
| Byrnes Clinical Center | All levels | Hospitalized inmates [1] | | | | | |
| Campbell Work Center | Level I (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates on work release | 222 | 196 | 113 | 246 | 90 |
| Central Correctional Institution | Level IV & V (Medium/ Maximum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 1,326 | 1,340 | 99 | 1,382 | 96 |
| Goodman Correctional Institution | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 461 | 283 | 163 | 464 | 99 |
| Kirkland Correctional Institution*[2] | Level IV & V (Medium/ Maximum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 604 | 448 | 135 | 612 | 99 |
| Lower Savannah Work Center | Level I (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates on work release | 155 | 45 | 344 | 154 | 101 |
| Lower Savannah Work Camp | Level I (Minimum) | Female, ages 17 and up | 77 | 96 | 80 | 96 | 80 |
| Manning Correctional Institution | Level III (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 523 | 416 | 126 | 450 | 116 |

# Table 1 (continued)
# Institutions and Centers of the S.C. Department of Corrections

| INSTITUTIONS/CENTERS | Degree of Security | Description of Resident Population | Avg. Daily Population (ADP) FY 1991 | Design Capacity (DC) | ADP As A Percentage of DC | Safe and Reasonable Capacity (SRC) | ADP As A Percentage of SRC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| State Park Correctional Center | Level I & II (Minimum) | Male and female, ages 17 and up--(three separate units) | 354 | 370 | 96 | 420 | 84 |
| Geriatric/Handicapped Unit | Male--primarily geriatric/handicapped | | | | | | |
| Women's Work Release Unit | | Females--on work release | | | | | |
| Palmetto Unit | | Females--ages 17 and up | | | | | |
| Stevenson Correctional Institution | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 180 | 129 | 140 | 167 | 108 |
| Walden Correctional Institution | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 320 | 246 | 130 | 292 | 110 |
| Wateree River Correctional Institution | Level III (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 644 | 456 | 141 | 609 | 106 |
| Wateree Shock Incarceration Unit (Male) | Level II (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 to 25 | 95 | 192 | 49 | 192 | 49 |
| Watkins Pre-Release Center | Level I (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates on pre-release programs | 143 | 144 | 99 | 144 | 99 |
| Women's Correctional Center | All Levels | Female, ages 17 and up | 486 | 269 | 181 | 337 | 144 |
| Women's Shock Incarceration Unit (Female) | Level II (Minimum) | Female, ages 17 to 25 | 14 | 24 | 58 | 24 | 58 |
| Coastal Correctional Region | | | | | | | |
| Allendale Correctional Institution | Level IV & V (Medium/ Maximum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 1,055 | 808 | 131 | 1,099 | 96 |
| Coastal Work Center | Level I (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates on work release | 158 | 158 | 100 | 158 | 100 |
| Evans Correctional Institution | Level IV & V (Medium/ Maximum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 1,067 | 808 | 132 | 1,101 | 97 |
| Lieber Correctional Institution* | Level IV & V (Medium/ Maximum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 1,267 | 696 | 182 | 1,200 | 106 |
| MacDougall Correctional Institution | Level III (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up | 575 | 336 | 171 | 572 | 101 |
| Palmer Work Center | Level I (Minimum) | Male, ages 17 and up--inmates on work release | 104 | 50 | 208 | 100 | 104 |
| TOTAL | | | 15,663 | 11,719 | | 15,472 | |

[1] The Safe and Reasonable Operating Capacity, is consistent with the Plyler v. Evatt (originally Nelson v. Leeke) Consent Decree.

[2] Located at S.C. Department of Mental Health's James F. Byrnes Medical Center, Columbia, S.C

* These institutions provide intake services for their regions.

** Average count for Kirkland Correctional Institution does not include Kirkland Infirmary or Gilliam Psychiatric Center.

# Figure 2
# Locations of SCDC Institutions and Centers

**Appalachian Region**

1 Blue Ridge Work/Pre-Release Center
2 Givens Youth Correctional Center
3 Perry Correctional Institution
4 Livesay Work Center
Northside Correctional Center
Northside Work Camp
5 Dutchman Correctional Institutional
Cross Anchor Correctional Institution
6 Greenwood Correctional Center
Greenwood Work Camp
Leath Correctional Institution
7 McCormick Correctional Institution
8 Catawba Work Center

**Midlands Region**

9 Aiken Youth Correctional Center
Lower Savannah Work Center
Lower Savannah Work Camp
10 Campbell Work Center
Broad River Correctional Institution
Goodman Correctional Institution
Kirkland Correctional Institution
State Park Correctional Center
Stevenson Correctional Institution
Walden Correctional Institution
Watkins Pre-Release Center
Women's Correctional Center
11 Central Correctional Institution
12 Manning Correctional Institution
13 Wateree River Correctional Institution

(Note: Byrnes Clinical Center is located at the S.C. Department of Mental Health's James F. Byrnes Medical Center, Columbia, S.C.)

**Coastal Region**

14 Palmer Work Center
15 MacDougall Correctional Institution
16 Coastal Work Center
17 Lieber Correctional Institution
18 Evans Correctional Institution
19 Allendale Correctional Institution

# Outstanding Employees

Annually, the Department recognizes its most outstanding Correctional Officer of the Year and Employee of the Year. These programs are designed to promote efficiency and to show that the Department appreciates those who have demonstrated exceptional performance.

Nominations for Correctional Officer of the Year are limited to Correctional Officers I or II, while the Employee of the Year selection may be made from any employee except Correctional Officers I and II, Deputy Commissioners and the Commissioner. In both programs, outstanding job accomplishments, self-development and interpersonal relationships with fellow employees, inmates, and others are considered.

**Bill E. Bright**, Officer First Class at Perry Correctional Institution, was chosen the Department's Correctional Officer of the Year for 1990. Officer Bright has been with the Department since 1988, and is a graduate of North Greenville College.

Other winners of this award in previous years include:

**1990 Terrance Whitaker**

**1989 Rose M. Austin**

**1988 Carmelita A. Streater**

**1987 Joseph M. Cavanaugh**

**1986 William F. Gault**

**1985 Frank Taylor**

**1984 Valerie W. Whitaker**

**1983 Jack Belcher**

**1982 Gloria Woodruff**

**1981 Walter T. Ross**

**1980 Robert D. Mickle**

**1979 George Coleman**

**1978 Joseph P. Davis**

**1977 Samuel Latta, II**

**1976 Godwin Quattlebaum**

**1975 Benjamin Sweet**

**1974 Eugene R. Grant**

**1973 Emma Strickland**

**1972 Boyd R. Mullins**

**1971 David L. Ba tles**

**1970 Guy T. Eaton**

The Employee of the Year for 1990 was **Louisa D. Brown,** Warden, Goodman Correctional Institution. Warden Brown has been with the Department since 1973. She began her career as Director, Comprehensive Drug Abuse Treatment Program. Warden Brown received a B.A. degree in Psychology from the University of South Carolina and Master's of Social Work Degree from Tulane University. Earlier winners of this award include:

**1990 Flora Brooks Boyd**

**1989 Rickie Harrison**

**1988 Robert L. Foulks**

**1987 George A. Roof**

**1986 Kenneth D. McKellar**

**1985 Kyuzo Miyaishi (Frankie San)**

**1984 William T. Cave**

# Significant Developments Fiscal Year 1990-1991

## Agency-Wide Administration and Management

118 SCDC employees were called to active duty during the Gulf War. The employees represented all areas of the department; 72% security, 15% administrative/management, and 13% health services,

On August 6, 1990, the Investigations Branch was administratively reassigned to the Division of Management Services. Its previous division was reorganized as the Division of Audits, Inspections, and Inmate Affairs.

The department's first teleconference was conducted in March, 1991. Approximately 1,000 employees were in attendance at 13 viewing sites throughout the state. This new medium provides a cost-efficient method for quality employee training and inmate education.

The Agency was awarded a $100,000 grant in February to research and develop a model child care facility for employees. The 18 month grant was awarded by the National Institute of Corrections (Prison Division).

The kickoff date for the Agency's "Can Do Spirit Award" was July 2, 1990. The in-house award was established to ensure that employees are recognized for their contributions to SCDC. During FY90-91, 183 Spirit Awards were given.

The Correctional Museum officially opened on November 19, 1990, in the former Capital Punishment Facility at the Central Correctional Institution.

In March, 1991, Central Correctional Institution began a professional writing skills program for employees. The basic skills program had certified over sixty employees through July 9, 1991. The 10-week program will continue to run with the assistance of Richland District One.

## Housing, Care, Security, and Supervision

In January, 1991, MacDougall Youth Correctional Center officially changed its name to the MacDougall Correctional Institution.

On October 1, 1990, the first inmates were received at Wateree River Correctional Institution under the Shock Incarceration Program. The program resulted from legislative action during the year which shifted full responsibility for the Shock program to the Department of Corrections.

On December 1, 1990, the last platoon of Shock Probationers completed the 90 day program. A total of 1,040 probationers completed the program between July 1987 and December 1990. Recidivism rates through the 3 1/2 year program averaged 10.2% for graduates.

On July 1, 1990, State Park Correctional Center added the Mary E. White Building (Palmetto Unit) to the existing facility providing an additonal 123 beds and a program area.

On December 12, 1990, the department admitted a female "death row" safekeeper to the Women's Correctional Center. This is the first female sentenced to death since executions have been carried out by the State of South Carolina.

In February, 1991, a 48-bed, statewide, long-term Protective Custody Unit became operational at Allendale Correctional Institution.

During FY-1991, the department opened its third work camp. Northside Work Camp became operational on November 26, 1990. The Work Camp Program is designed to provide a more economical means of confining non-violent short term offenders and a labor force for county and local government projects.

Construction of Leath Correctional Institution for Women continued throughout the year. (The 384 bed institution became operational on September 4, 1991).

## Programs for Inmates

In July, 1990, the "Third Generation," a private sector apparel manufacturer, embarked on a joint venture with the Division of Prison Industries to produce marketable apparel at the Women's Correctional Center. Two additional private sector projects were initiated in FY90-91. This increased the total number of inmates earning minimum wage to 85 (up from 44 during FY90).

Educational enrollments for FY 1991, totalled 11,702, of which 2,000 were served in literacy programs and 1,117 in postsecondary. There were 1,384 graduates within the District, resulting in all-time highs in academic and vocational areas.

An agreement was signed on June 13, 1991 between SCDC and the South Carolina Department of Mental Health to provide for mental health assistance in caring for certain long-term chronically mentally ill inmates and female inmates who require hospitalization related to mental illness.

## Information Activities

Two Operation Get Smart teams visited all 46 counties and travelled 64,595 miles to 646 engagements giving 5,370 individual presentations to 204,913 youths and 20,484 adults for an annual audience of 225,397. Forty-six Save The Children/Adult Enlightenment Program sessions were held at the Women's Correctional Center and Central Correctional Institution serving 974 pre-trial intervention clients. SCDC Speakers' Bureau fulfilled 185 documented engagements and addressed audiences totalling approximately 20,081 people.

During CY 1990, over 3,500 volunteers contributed approximately 150,000 hours of services to SCDC, with an estimated value of $1,350,000. In addition, approximately $218,000 of cash and in-kind contributions were donated by individual and group volunteers.

## Employee Cost-Reduction Efforts

Annually the Department recognizes institutions or other organizational units for their outstanding leadership and good management practices in several distinct areas of operation: In FY 1991, "Pacesetter Awards" were presented to:

***For Excellence in Personnel Management:*** Palmer Work Center, Manning Correctional Institution, and Kirkland Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Information and Records Management:*** Lieber Reception and Evaluation, Watkins Pre-Release Center, Walden Correctional Institution, and Wateree River Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Commissary Management:*** Palmer Work Center, Goodman Correctional Institution, McCormick Correctional Institution, and Stevenson Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Canteen Management:*** Givens Youth Correction Center, Cross Anchor Correctional Institution, Goodman Correctional Institution, and Kirkland Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Procurement Practices:*** Givens Youth Correction Center, Coastal Work Center, Goodman Correctional Institution, and McCormick Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Cafeteria Management:*** Palmer Work Center, Greenwood Correctional Center, Northside Correctional Center, and McCormick Correctional Center.

***For Excellence in Institutional Waste Watchers Program:*** Livesay Work Center, Manning Correctional Institution, and Lieber Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Institutional Reading Improvement Program:*** Evans Correctional Institution, State Park Correctional Center, Northside Correctional Center, and Broad River Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Institutional Wellness Program:*** Walden Correctional Institution, Stevenson Correctional Institution, and Evans Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Budget Management:*** Givens Youth Correction Center, Cross Anchor Correctional Institution, and McCormick Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Inmate Grievance Procedures:*** State Park Correctional Center, Stevenson Correctional Institution, Goodman Correctional Institution, Evans Correctional Institution, Allendale Correctional Institution, Central Correctional Institution, Wateree River Correctional Institution, and Lieber Correctional Institution.

***For Excellence in Vehicle Management:*** Campbell Work Center, Manning Correctional Center, and Wateree River Correctional Institution.

# Plyler v. Evatt Highlights

**(Originally Nelson v. Leeke)**

In 1982, Gary Wayne Nelson, an inmate at CCI, filed a class action suit against the Department of Corrections. The suit stated that the SCDC, systemwide, was violating the 8th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution prohibiting cruel and unusual punishment. The lawsuit was filed on behalf of all inmates in the system at that time and any inmates entering the system thereafter.

The Department negotiated with Plaintiffs' Counsel for two years before coming to an agreement on January 8, 1985. The General Assembly found the Agreement to be "in the best interest of the State" and authorized the Department to enter into the proposed Consent Agreement. Further, the General Assembly agreed to provide "substantial additional funding ... or other remedies" to meet the terms of the settlement.

The Consent Decree stipulates that the Department will end overcrowding at medium security institutions by January 8, 1988, and at all other minimum security institutions by January 8, 1990. The bedspace capacities for existing institutions were established pursuant to agreed upon minimum square footage requirements for inmate housing. Due to the increased admissions to the Department in 1986 and 1987, however, the Department filed a "Motion for Modification of the Consent Decree" in order to allow for double-celling at new institutions not meeting the specified square footage requirements of the Decree. This motion was filed specifically to provide the Department with additional bedspace by which to attain compliance with Nelson capacities at existing medium security institutions. In April, 1988, a ruling was received from the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals stating that the Department be allowed to fully double-occupy general population cells at these institutions. The Department's capacity was thus increased by 2,044 beds, although most would not be filled immediately. The ruling raised the authorized capacity of Lieber and McCormick institutions by 504 beds each, Broad River by 444 beds, and Allendale and Evans institutions by 296 beds each.

Minimum security bedspace reductions required under the terms of the Decree to be achieved by January 8, 1990, were modified in a joint agreement entered into between the parties on December 11, 1990. This agreement allows for the immediate reduction of minimum security bedspaces through the process of attrition at selected facilities and for the elimination of beds at remaining facilities by June, 1992. This agreement was considered necessary in light of the increased admissions to the Department over the past five (5) year period which have changed the circumstances under which the original terms of the Decree were premised. Approximately 320 minimum security beds required to be eliminated by January, 1990, were saved as a result of this agreement.

Since the Consent Decree was signed, the General Assembly has authorized funds for the construction of five (5) new prisons; funds for a unit at the Women's Correctional Center; and funds for five (5) 96-bed minimum security additions. Additionally, the General Assembly authorized funding to the Department during FY 88-89 for the following projects: 960 work camp beds; 50 male maximum security beds; 288 male minimum security beds; 2,260 male medium security beds; and, 384 female beds. The additional bedspaces are necessary to accommodate the projected population growth. Further, the General Assembly approved funding for the construction of 1,200 bed male medium security facility to replace the Central Correctional Institution.

Although the primary focus is the elimination of overcrowding and inadequate staffing, the Consent Decree addresses many other issues affecting the operation of the institutions. The major issues include classification, staff training, health care services, fire and life safety, and physical plant requirements.

Quarterly reports on the Department's compliance are submitted to the Plantiffs' Counsel, Court, the S.C. Budget and Control Board and to each institution. Should the Department be "out of compliance" with one or more of the issues contained in the Decree, Plantiff's Counsel may request relief from the Federal District Court. Plaintiffs' counsel filed a "Petitiion for Supplemental Relief" relative to overcrowding in female institutions operated by the Department. A hearing was held in the Federal District Court on this matter on May 8, 1989, and the Court ruled that the Department was to obtain compliance with the original terms of the Decree by April 2, 1990. A stay of this order was received, however, and an appeal filed and heard by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals in June, 1990. A final decision from the Fourth Circuit is currently pending.

# Legislation

Several pieces of legislation of significance to the Department were passed by the General Assembly and signed into law by the Governor this fiscal year. A synopsis of this legislation as it may affect the Department is provided below. For full details of the legislation, please refer to the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976 as amended.

## Prisons

(H3178) Allows products produced by inmates employed in a federally certified private sector/ prison industries program to be sold intra/interstate if the inmate workers participate voluntarily, receive comparable wages and the work does not displace employed workers.

(S512) The addressed and telephone numbers of victims/witnesses provided to SCDC and DPPP (The Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services) is privileged and must not be disclosed directly or indirectly, except by court order.

## Administration

(S506) Candidates for Correctional Officer positions must hold valid South Carolina driver's licencse with no record during the previous five years for suspension of driver's license as a result of DUI, driving impaired, reckless homicide, involuntary manslaughter, or leaving the scene of an accident.

(S508) Permanent full-time state employees who are temporarily disabled as a result of an assault by an inmate/patient/client must be placed on administrative leave with pay by their employer rather than sick leave not to exceed 180 calendar days.

(H382) Members of the retirement system shall receive credit for no more than 90 days of unused sick leave, which must be credited at a rate where 20 days equals one month of service.

(S388) Outlines specific number of months for state agencies to reduce their production of each solid waste component defined in the bill.

(S716) Employer who willfully/repeatedly violates occupational safety or health rules may be assessed a penalty of $70,000 (rather than $10,000).

(S662) Implements a plan enabling members eligible for other service credit to pay for this credit by payroll deducted installment payments.

NOTE: The Offender Management Bill (S. 883), which establishes a classification and adult criminal offender management system to alleviate prison overcrowding, remains on the Contested Calendar in the House of Representatives. It can be considered when the Legislature convenes in January of 1992.

# Fiscal Information

(Special Note: This information is as of June 30, 1991, and was obtained in September 1991, to meet the production schedule for this annual report. The data are subject to minor revision following year-end reconciliations which will be completed later. Data presented and recorded using the cash basis of accounting in accordance with the budgetary accounting process of the State of South Carolina.)

## Operating Expenditures (Excludes Capital Improvement Funds)

The Department of Corrections expended $220,895,153 in state appropriations, federal funds, special revenues, Prison Industries, and canteen funds in fiscal year 1990-91. Major expenditures included:

Salaries and fringe benefits of employees.....71%

Supplies (e.g. food, uniforms, medical and office).....9%

Items for resale by Prison Industries and canteens.....5%

Table 2, on the following page, enumerates the expenditures by state budget code.

## Expenditures by Program (Excludes Capital Improvement Funds)

The Department's budget for this fiscal year identified six programs that define the departmental mission and provide performance indicators to measure effectiveness and cost. Based on the expenditure of state, federal, special revenues, Prison Industries, and canteen funds, the Department spent:

| | |
|---|---|
| Administration (4.7%) | $ 10,368,861 |
| Housing, Care, Security and Supervision (83.6%) | $184,626,180 |
| Work and Vocational Activities (5.9%) | $ 13,028,497 |
| Inmate Individual Growth and Motivation (2.7%) | $ 5,941,267 |
| Penal Facilities and Inspection Services (0.1%) | $ 282,709 |
| Palmetto School District One (3.0%) | $ 6,6,47,639 |

## Cost Per Inmate (Based on average population in SCDC institutions.)

| | |
|---|---|
| Annual per inmate cost in S.C. General Funds | $ 12,336 |
| Previous fiscal year (FY 1989-90) | $ 12,414 |
| Percentage change | -.6% |
| Annual per inmate costs in state, federal and other funds* | $ 12,451 |
| Previous fiscal year (FY 1989-90) | $ 12,707 |
| Percentage change | -2.0% |

*Excludes capital improvement, Prison Industries and canteen funds.

## Table 2
## Expenditures of the Department of Corrections
## Fiscal Year 1990-91

| Description | Expenditure |
|---|---|
| Personnel Services | $ 121,041,439 |
| Contractual Services | $ 15,775,844 |
| Supplies | $ 19,133,251 |
| Fixed Charges | $ 1,557,157 |
| Travel | $ 266,965 |
| Equipment | $ 1,510,353 |
| Items for Resale* | $ 12,013,531 |
| Case Services | $ 5,285,330 |
| Lights/Heat/Power | $ 7,627,813 |
| Transportation | $ 1,016,767 |
| Employee Benefits | $ 35,567,703 |
| Transfer to Capital Projects | $ 99,000 |
| Total Expenditures | $ 220,895,153 |

(Includes state funds, federal funds, special revenue, Prison Industries, and canteen funds. Excludes capital improvement expenditures.)

*This budget line includes consumer goods purchased for resale, principally in canteens, and raw materials purchased for resale after further processing in Prison Industries.

# Grant Assistance During Fiscal Year 1990-91

## Through the South Carolina State Department of Education

Chapter I to supplement and upgrade educational programs within the Department of Corrections for youths under 21 years of age: $298,273.

Vocational Educational Act to provide vocational training to the underprivileged and furnish skills to prepare them for beneficial employment upon release: $291,329.

Direct Service Delivery (Public Law 94-142) to provide special education for the handicapped (learning disabilities), age 21 and under: $39,099.

Adult Basic Education funds are utilized in the development and implementation of a comprehensive academic program: $271,821.

Adult Basic Education to hire teachers and furnish supplies for basic education programs at multi-grade levels: $146,577.

## Through the S.C. State Library Board

Library services - book collection improvement for the Department of Corrections' libraries: $15,000.

Title I - To upgrade and increase book collections in all Department of Corrections libraries with materials related specifically to literacy: $5,500.

VI - To supplement the adult new reader materials collections for the prison libraries with literacy projects in the Appalachianal Correction Region: $25,000.

## Job Training Partnership Act (via the Governor's Office)

Transitional Linkage - to provide training skills in auto mechanics, brick masonry, and welding to supplement the 30-day work release program and assist incarcerated offenders to attain a comprehensive transition into the labor market: $325,000.

## Public Safety Programs (via the Governor's Office)

Residential Addictions Treatment Unit provides a drug addictions treatment program for inmates with a history of substance abuse: $320,287.

Janitorial Skills Training Program offered through the Habilitation Unit at Stevenson: $29,561.

Work Center Drug Testing Prevention Program to test inmates entering work release centers: $14,069.

## Grant Assistance During Fiscal Year 1990-91 (continued)

Inmate Furlough Drug Testing Program to test inmates participating in the furlough program and upon their return: $14,725.

Addictions Treatment Evaluation to determine the impact of the ATU: $8,850.

### National Institute of Corrections

A study of child care models for the rural, urban, and metropolitan correction workplace to develop a model child care center for South Carolina Department of Corrections immediate family: $100,000.

### Through the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance

To reimburse states for expense incurred from the incarceration of Mariel-Cubans: $6,073.

# Publications and Documents
# Fiscal Year 1990-91

The Department of Corrections has a continuous need to communicate its policy, progress and programs to elected and judicial officials throughout the State of South Carolina, to employees and inmates, and to the interested general public. To accomplish this task the Department uses a variety of regular and special publications:

## Regular Reports

***Annual Report of the Board of Corrections and the Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections.*** (Issued annually following the close of the fiscal year. Copies are sent to depository libraries throughout the state.)

***Monthly Report to the Board of Corrections.*** (Prepared monthly from input provided by all echelons of management throughout the Department.)

***Inmate Guide.*** (A generalized guide prepared from formal official documents and policy, rules and regulations of the Department; each inmate receives a copy when he/she is admitted to the Department.)

***Youthful Offender Act Services Information Guide.*** (Designed to acquaint Youthful Offenders, their families, SCDC and other criminal justice personnel, parole volunteers, and the general public with the Youthful Offender Act and the Department's implementation thereof.)

***Defendants' Quarterly Report on Compliance.*** (Submitted to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina pursuant to the 1985 negotiated Consent Decree in the matter of Plyler v. Evatt (originally Nelson v. Leeke). The reports outline the Department's compliance with the terms of the Agreement.

***Quarterly Training Report for the Department of Corrections.*** (The Consent Decree mentioned above requires continuous monitoring of training of current and new employees. This report documents the progress made throughout the Department.)

## Newsletters/Pamphlets

***Report to the Shareholders.*** (A series of reports distributed periodicallly to select business and government leaders across the state, providing current SCDC information.

***The Communicator.*** (A twice monthly brief about training dates, personnel news, major promotions and changes in employee benefits.)

***The Intercom.*** (A monthly mini-magazine for and about the Department of Corrections, its employees and inmates.)

***SCDC Employee Newsletter.*** (In-depth reporting on matters of interest to all employees; published periodically.)

## Newsletters/Pamphlets (continued)

***Operation Get Smart:*** An Inside View of Crime and Imprisonment. (Aimed at educating young people about the consequences of criminal behavior.)

***About Face.*** (A quarterly newsletter prepared by and for inmates within the Department of Corrections.)

## Issue Oriented Publications

***Annual Report Executive Summary.***

***Correctional Officer's Basic Training Manual.***

***Detailed Budget for 1989-90.***

***Employee Assistance Program Brochure.***

***Employee Orientation Manual.***

***Report to the Shareholders.*** (Each digest concentrates on one corrections issue arising outsideof the Department of Corrections which is of professional interest.)

***Minimum Standards for Local Detention Facilities in South Carolina.***

***Our Retirement System.***

***SCDC Employee Handbook.***

***SCDC Index - Information for Decisionmakers.*** (Each Index concentrates on one departmental issue of general interest to managers.)

***SCDC Training Academy Student Handbook.***

***Sexual Harassment Brochure.***

***SITCON Manual.*** (Security Manual for special incidents. Restricted distribution.)

***Supervisory Training Manual.***

***In-Service Training Calendar.*** (Lists in-service classes to be held at the Training Academy.)

## Sales Literature

Prison Industries publishes a variety of sales literature describing products and services produced by inmates for sale to government agencies, non-profit organizations, jobbers and brokers doing business solely within South Carolina, and (for services alone) any other business or organization. This range of literature covers such areas as:

Kirkwood Furniture for offices.

Office Master Modular Office Systems.

Body Master Vehicle Reclamation.

Sign-Center (Decals, road signs, name tags & desk markers.)

Craft Master Furniture Refurbishing.

# Inmate and Personnel Statistics

This and the next page are a "data snapshot" of the inmates and employees of the Department of Corrections. Detailed inmate and personnel statistics are presented in the tables and figures which follow. The data include average population, admissions, and releases during the fiscal year, and select information regarding the FY 1991 admissions and the total inmate population as of the end of the fiscal year. Also included is information on the Department of Corrections' workforce. Where appropriate, the statistical data are also presented graphically.

## Profile of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

**Number of inmates admitted.....11,433**

**Sentenced by courts.....83.8%**

**Probation revocations.....8.4%**

**Parole revocations.....6.5%**

**Other (early release revocations, resentencing, death row).....1.3%**

**Inmates admitted who were between 17 & 29 years of age.....58.4%**

**Average sentence length.....4 Yrs. 8 Mos.**
**(Excludes life, death, shock probation, restitution, and YOA sentences.)**

**Most Serious Offenses (72.5% of the 11,433 admissions)**

**Percentage sentenced for :**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Dangerous Drugs:** | **20.7%** |
| **Traffic Offenses:** | **13.9%** |
| **Larceny:** | **12.3%** |
| **Burglary:** | **9.2%** |
| **Fraudulent Activities:** | **6.8%** |
| **Assault:** | **5.6%** |
| **Forgery:** | **4.0%** |

## Profile of Inmates Released During FY 1991

**Number of inmates released.....10,021**

**Inmates who "maxed out".....44%**

**Placed on probation (had split sentence).....21%**

**Paroled by the Youthful Offender Act Board.....9%**

**Paroled by the Dept. of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services.....12%**

**Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act releases.....1%**

**Other.....13%**

## Profile of Total Inmate Population as of June 30, 1991

Number of inmates in SCDC jurisdiction.....18,452

Average sentence length.....11 Yrs. 11 Mos.

Serving Youthful Offender Act sentences.....4.9%

With sentences of more than 20 years (including life).....21.8%

With death sentences.....0.3%

Who are white males.....31.9%

Non-white males.....62.2%

White females.....1.9%

Non-white females.....4.0%

Average age.....32

29 years of age or younger.....46.8%

Most Serious Offenses (78.2% of the 18,452 inmates.)

Percentage sentenced for:

| | |
|---|---|
| Dangerous Drugs: | 20.6% |
| Burglary: | 14.5% |
| Larceny: | 10.4% |
| Homicide: | 10.2% |
| Robbery: | 9.4% |
| Assault: | 6.6% |
| Sexual Assault: | 6.5% |

## Department of Corrections' Employees (as of June 16, 1991)

Total..... 5,944

Security personnel.....3,745

Non-security personnel.....2,199

Percentage of total who are white males.....31.2%

Non-white males.....33.6%

White females.....17.2%

Non-white females.....18.0%

Number of inmates per authorized correctional officer.....3.9

# Table 3
## Per Inmate Costs - Fiscal Years 1981 - 1991

| FISCAL YEAR | BASED ON STATE FUNDS SPENT | | BASED ON ALL FUNDS SPENT** | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ANNUAL PER INMATE COSTS | DAILY PER INMATE COSTS *** | ANNUAL PER INMATE COSTS | DAILY PER INMATE COSTS *** |
| 1981 | 6,067 | 16.62 | 6,489 | 17.78 |
| 1982 | 6,765 | 18.53 | 7,110 | 19.48 |
| 1983 | 7,332 | 20.09 | 7,520 | 20.60 |
| 1984 | 8,508 | 23.25 | 8,632 | 23.59 |
| 1985 | 9,290 | 25.45 | 9,476 | 25.96 |
| 1986 | 10,239 | 28.05 | 10,471 | 28.69 |
| 1987 | 11,471 | 31.43 | 11,721 | 32.11 |
| 1988 | 12,213 | 33.37 | 12,421 | 33.94 |
| 1989 | 12,925 | 35.41 | 13,237 | 36.27 |
| 1990 | 12,414 | 34.01 | 12,707 | 34.81 |
| 1991 | 12,336 | 33.80 | 12,451 | 34.11 |

*Calculation of the SCDC per inmate costs is based on the average number of inmates in SCDC facilities and does not include state inmates held in designated facilities, institutional diversionar programs or other non-SCDC locations.

**State, Federal and Special Revenues.

***Based on 365 days per year, except leap year when 366 days are used.

Minor adjustments have been made in the daily costs for 1980 and 1984 to reflect those were leap years.

## Figure 3
## Per Inmate Costs
## (All Funds)
## Fiscal Years 1981 - 1991



# Table 4
# SCDC Average Inmate Population
# Calendar Years 1968 - 1991

| CALENDAR YEAR | SCDC FACILITIES | SPECIAL * PLACEMENTS | DESIGNATED FACILITIES ** | SCDC *** JURISDICTION | ABSOLUTE CHANGE OVER PREVIOUS YEAR | PERCENT CHANGE OVER PREVIOUS YEAR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1968 | 2,362 | - - | - - | 2,362 | 29 | 1.2 |
| 1969 | 2,519 | - - | - - | 2,519 | 157 | 6.6 |
| 1970 | 2,705 | - - | - - | 2,705 | 186 | 7.4 |
| 1971 | 3,111 | - - | - - | 3,111 | 406 | 15.0 |
| 1972 | 3,300 | - - | - - | 3,300 | 189 | 6.1 |
| 1973 | 3,396 | - - | - - | 3,396 | 96 | 2.9 |
| 1974 | 3,907 | 24 | - - | 3,931 | 535 | 15.8 |
| 1975 | 5,079 | 26 | 379 | 5,484 | 1,553 | 39.5 |
| 1976 | 6,039 | 25 | 675 | 6,739 | 1,255 | 22.9 |
| 1977 | 6,590 | 28 | 762 | 7,380 | 641 | 9.5 |
| 1978 | 6,766 | 72 | 725 | 7,563 | 183 | 2.5 |
| 1979 | 6,797 | 179 | 703 | 7,679 | 116 | 1.5 |
| 1980 | 7,165 | 184 | 670 | 8,019 | 340 | 4.4 |
| 1981 | 7,290 | 304 | 628 | 8,222 | 203 | 2.5 |
| 1982 | 7,956 | 493 | 590 | 9,039 | 817 | 9.9 |
| 1983 | 8,166 | 902 | 554 | 9,622 | 583 | 6.4 |
| 1984 | 8,322 | 1,109 | 527 | 9,958 | 336 | 3.5 |
| 1985 | 8,865 | 1,401 | 487 | 10,753 | 795 | 8.0 |
| 1986 | 9,817 | 1,682 | 470 | 11,969 | 1,216 | 11.3 |
| 1987 | 10,734 | 1,831 | 496 | 13,061 | 1,092 | 9.1 |
| 1988 | 11,275 | 1,882 | 467 | 13,624 | 563 | 4.3 |
| 1989 | 13,004 | 1,145 | 460 | 14,609 | 985 | 7.2 |
| 1990 | 15,170 | 1,356 | 443 | 17,024 | 2,415 | 16.5 |
| 1991 | 15,999 | 1,442 | 454 | 17,895 | 871 | 5.1 |

* This category of inmates does not take up bedspace in SCDC facilities and has increased in number as institutional diversionary programs are implemented--Extended Work Release Program (in 1978), Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole Program (in 1982). Special placements included those inmates assigned to the State Law Enforcement Division, the Commissioner's Home, hospital facilities, Alston Wilkes Half-way Houses, Interstate Compact, authorized absences, Extended Work Release, Supervised Furlough, Provisional Parole, Shock Probation, and Restitution.

** Suitable city, county and state facilities have been designated to house State inmates as a means of alleviating overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities, and facilitating work at the facilities and in the community.

*** The jurisdiction count in this table does not include YOA parolees or inmates conditionally released under the Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (EPA) (S.C. Code of Laws, 1976, Section 24-3-1110) invoked in September,1983 and EPA II invoked in May, 1987. The average EPA counts were as follows:
CY 1983 - 22; CY 1984 - 74; CY1985 - 443;CY 1986 - 651; CY 1987 - 731(EPA), 50(EPA II);
CY 1988 - 612(EPA), 160(EPA II); CY 1989 - 308(EPA), 219(EPAII); CY 1990-134(EPA) 174(EPA II);
CY 1991 - 157(EPA), 162(EPAII).

NOTE: Averages for CY1991 are calculated from January, 1991 - June, 1991 population figures.

**Figure 4**
**Average Inmate Population**
**Calendar Years 1968 - 1991**



# Table 5
# SCDC Average Inmate Population
# Fiscal Years 1968 - 1991

| FISCAL YEAR | SCDC FACILITIES | SPECIAL* PLACEMENTS | DESIGNATED FACILITIES ** | SCDC *** JURISDICTION | ABSOLUTE CHANGE OVER PREVIOUS YEAR | PERCENT CHANGE OVER PREVIOUS YEAR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1968 | 2,378 | -- | -- | 2,378 | 91 | 4.0 |
| 1969 | 2,355 | -- | -- | 2,355 | -23 | -1.0 |
| 1970 | 2,537 | -- | -- | 2,537 | 182 | 7.7 |
| 1971 | 2,859 | -- | -- | 2,859 | 322 | 12.7 |
| 1972 | 3,239 | -- | -- | 3,239 | 380 | 13.3 |
| 1973 | 3,341 | -- | -- | 3,341 | 102 | 3.1 |
| 1974 | 3,517 | 25 | -- | 3,542 | 201 | 6.0 |
| 1975 | 4,557 | 25 | 36 | 4,618 | 1,076 | 30.4 |
| 1976 | 5,671 | 25 | 568 | 6,264 | 1,646 | 35.6 |
| 1977 | 6,392 | 27 | 748 | 7,167 | 903 | 14.4 |
| 1978 | 6,677 | 32 | 738 | 7,447 | 280 | 3.9 |
| 1979 | 6,761 | 149 | 713 | 7,623 | 176 | 2.4 |
| 1980 | 7,003 | 184 | 682 | 7,869 | 246 | 3.2 |
| 1981 | 7,190 | 236 | 652 | 8,078 | 209 | 2.7 |
| 1982 | 7,635 | 353 | 614 | 8,602 | 524 | 6.5 |
| 1983 | 8,151 | 683 | 558 | 9,392 | 790 | 9.2 |
| 1984 | 8,182 | 1,051 | 556 | 9,789 | 397 | 4.2 |
| 1985 | 8,539 | 1,081 | 501 | 10,121 | 332 | 3.4 |
| 1986 | 9,299 | 978 | 478 | 10,755 | 634 | 6.3 |
| 1987 | 10,320 | 993 | 473 | 11,786 | 1,031 | 9.6 |
| 1988 | 11,069 | 1,104 | 487 | 12,660 | 874 | 7.4 |
| 1989 | 12,426 | 1,162 | 461 | 14,049 | 1,389 | 11.0 |
| 1990 | 14,417 | 1,292 | 440 | 16,149 | 2,100 | 14.9 |
| 1991 | 15,810 | 1,376 | 455 | 17,641 | 1,492 | 9.2 |

*This category of inmates does not take up bedspace in SCDC facilities and has increased in number as institutional diversionary programs are implemented--Extended Work Release Program (in 1978), Supervised Furlough and Provisional Parole Programs (in 1982). Special placements include those inmates assigned to Byrnes Clinical Center,t State Law Enforcement Division, the Criminal Justice Academy, the Commissioner's Home, hospital facilities, Alston Wilkes Half-way Houses, Interstate Corrections Compact, authorized absences, Extended Work Release, Supervised Furlough, Provisional Parole, Shock Probation, and Restitution.

**Suitable city, county and state facilities have been designated to house State inmates as a means of alleviating overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities, and facilitating work at the facilities and in the community.

***The jurisdiction count on this table does not include YOA parolees or inmates conditionally released under the Emergency Prison Overcrowding Powers Act (EPA) (S.C. Code of Laws 1976, Section 24-3-1110) invoked in Septemb 1983 and EPA II invoked in May, 1987. The average EPA counts were as follows: FY 1984 - 24; FY 1985 - 271; FY 1986 - 574; FY 1987 - 768; FY 1988 - 654(EPA), 126(EPA II); FY 1989 - 377(EPA), 213(EPA II); FY 1990 -171(EPA) 189(EPA II); FY 1991 - 146(EPA), 164(EPAII).

## Figure 5
## Average Inmate Population
## Fiscal Years 1968 - 1991



# Table 6
## Admissions To and Releases From SCDC Base Population During FY 1991

| ADMISSIONS | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | NUMBER | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| **NEW ADMISSIONS FROM COURT** | **8605** | **975** | **9580** | **83.8** |
| Indeterminate Sentence (YOA)* | 1180 | 43 | 1223 | 10.7 |
| Straight Sentence (Non-YOA) | 7078 | 887 | 7965 | 69.7 |
| Shock Probation | 80 | 3 | 83 | 0.7 |
| Restitution | 267 | 42 | 309 | 2.7 |
| **PROBATION REVOCATIONS** | **889** | **77** | **966** | **8.4** |
| Without New Sentence | 518 | 57 | 575 | 5.0 |
| With New Sentence | 371 | 20 | 391 | 3.4 |
| **PAROLE REVOCATIONS** | **704** | **41** | **745** | **6.5** |
| YOA Without New Sentence | 228 | 8 | 236 | 2.1 |
| YOA With New Sentence | 29 | 0 | 29 | 0.3 |
| NON-YOA Without New Sentence | 365 | 27 | 392 | 3.4 |
| NON-YOA With New Sentence | 82 | 6 | 88 | 0.8 |
| **EPA REVOCATIONS** | **40** | **5** | **45** | **0.4** |
| EPA I Without New Sentence | 30 | 5 | 35 | 0.3 |
| EPA I With New Sentence | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| EPA II Without New Sentence | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.1 |
| EPA II With New Sentence | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| **RE-SENTENCED** | **69** | **2** | **71** | **0.6** |
| **DEATH ROW** | **6** | **1** | **7** | **0.1** |
| **OTHER **** | **16** | **3** | **19** | **0.2** |
| **TOTAL ADMISSIONS** | **10,329** | **1,104** | **11,433** | **100** |
| **RELEASES** | | | | |
| **EXPIRATION OF SENTENCE/ LESS GOOD TIME** | **3912** | **518** | **4430** | **44.2** |
| **PLACED ON PROBATION** | **1923** | **187** | **2110** | **21.1** |
| **PAROLED BY YOA PAROLE BOARD** | **927** | **15** | **942** | **9.4** |
| **PAROLED BY DPPP***** | **1017** | **146** | **1163** | **11.6** |
| **RESENTENCED** | **169** | **3** | **172** | **1.7** |
| **RELEASED TO EPA I** | **94** | **6** | **100** | **1.0** |
| **RELEASED TO EPA II** | **0** | **1** | **1** | **0.0** |
| **DEATH** | **48** | **2** | **50** | **0.5** |
| **DEATH-EXECUTED** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0.0** |
| **SHOCK PROB/INCARCERATION** | **329** | **57** | **386** | **3.9** |
| **RESTITUTION CENTER** | **254** | **44** | **298** | **3.0** |
| **OTHER ****** | **324** | **45** | **369** | **3.7** |
| **TOTAL RELEASES** | **8,997** | **1,024** | **10,021** | **100** |

* See Appendix C for a detailed explanation of the Youthful Offender Act.
** These inmates failed to pay a court ordered fine or had their appeal bond denied.
*** Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services

## Figure 6
## Distribution of Average Inmate Population By Type of Facility During FY 1991




* A listing of Special Placements is given in Table 5.

## Figure 7
## Race and Sex of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991



# Table 7
## Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

| COMMITTING COUNTY | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | RANK* |
| **APPALACHIAN REGION**** | **1618** | **45.0** | **2395** | **35.6** | **201** | **53.5** | **320** | **44.0** | **4534** | **39.7** | - |
| ABBEVILLE | 26 | 0.7 | 46 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.0 | 81 | 0.7 | 38 |
| ANDERSON | 166 | 4.6 | 144 | 2.1 | 22 | 5.9 | 17 | 2.3 | 349 | 3.1 | 10 |
| CHEROKEE | 110 | 3.1 | 66 | 1.0 | 6 | 1.6 | 14 | 1.9 | 196 | 1.7 | 16 |
| EDGEFIELD | 9 | 0.3 | 58 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 71 | 0.6 | 42 |
| GREENVILLE | 549 | 15.3 | 1013 | 15.1 | 61 | 16.2 | 162 | 22.3 | 1785 | 15.6 | 1 |
| GREENWOOD | 81 | 2.3 | 165 | 2.5 | 13 | 3.5 | 29 | 4.0 | 288 | 2.5 | 12 |
| LAURENS | 73 | 2.0 | 121 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.1 | 4 | 0.5 | 202 | 1.8 | 15 |
| MCCORMICK | 2 | 0.1 | 28 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.7 | 35 | 0.3 | 44 |
| OCONEE | 67 | 1.9 | 35 | 0.5 | 18 | 4.8 | 6 | 0.8 | 126 | 1.1 | 28 |
| PICKENS | 78 | 2.2 | 36 | 0.5 | 9 | 2.4 | 7 | 1.0 | 130 | 1.1 | 26 |
| SALUDA | 19 | 0.5 | 50 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 74 | 0.6 | 40 |
| SPARTANBURG | 258 | 7.2 | 430 | 6.4 | 35 | 9.3 | 42 | 5.8 | 765 | 6.7 | 4 |
| UNION | 52 | 1.4 | 65 | 1.0 | 10 | 2.7 | 8 | 1.1 | 135 | 1.2 | 25 |
| YORK | 128 | 3.6 | 138 | 2.1 | 18 | 4.8 | 13 | 1.8 | 297 | 2.6 | 11 |
| **MIDLANDS REGION**** | **892** | **24.8** | **2149** | **31.9** | **93** | **24.8** | **230** | **31.6** | **3364** | **29.4** | - |
| AIKEN | 166 | 4.6 | 208 | 3.1 | 17 | 4.5 | 27 | 3.7 | 418 | 3.7 | 8 |
| BAMBERG | 20 | 0.6 | 77 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 | 103 | 0.9 | 34 |
| BARNWELL | 13 | 0.4 | 52 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.0 | 74 | 0.6 | 40 |
| CALHOUN | 5 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 24 | 0.2 | 46 |
| CHESTER | 30 | 0.8 | 51 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.7 | 87 | 0.8 | 36 |
| CLARENDON | 22 | 0.6 | 80 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.5 | 107 | 0.9 | 31 |
| FAIRFIELD | 12 | 0.3 | 69 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.7 | 86 | 0.8 | 37 |
| KERSHAW | 42 | 1.2 | 56 | 0.8 | 5 | 1.3 | 6 | 0.8 | 109 | 1.0 | 30 |
| LANCASTER | 56 | 1.6 | 88 | 1.3 | 6 | 1.6 | 10 | 1.4 | 160 | 1.4 | 18 |
| LEE | 17 | 0.5 | 80 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 8 | 1.1 | 106 | 0.9 | 32 |
| LEXINGTON | 181 | 5.0 | 152 | 2.3 | 15 | 4.0 | 15 | 2.1 | 363 | 3.2 | 9 |
| NEWBERRY | 44 | 1.2 | 90 | 1.3 | 6 | 1.6 | 7 | 1.0 | 147 | 1.3 | 22 |
| ORANGEBURG | 30 | 0.8 | 195 | 2.9 | 2 | 0.5 | 20 | 2.7 | 247 | 2.2 | 14 |
| RICHLAND | 148 | 4.1 | 645 | 9.6 | 24 | 6.4 | 76 | 10.4 | 893 | 7.8 | 2 |
| SUMTER | 106 | 2.9 | 287 | 4.3 | 11 | 3.0 | 36 | 5.0 | 440 | 3.8 | 7 |

# Table 7 (continued)
## Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

| COMMITTING COUNTY | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | RANK* |
| **COASTAL REGION**** | **1089** | **30.3** | **2186** | **32.5** | **82** | **21.8** | **178** | **24.5** | **3535** | **30.9** | |
| ALLENDALE | 3 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.4 | 31 | 0.3 | 45 |
| BEAUFORT | 35 | 1.0 | 115 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 155 | 1.4 | 19 |
| BERKELEY | 72 | 2.0 | 69 | 1.0 | 5 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 148 | 1.3 | 21 |
| CHARLESTON | 235 | 6.5 | 568 | 8.4 | 22 | 5.9 | 26 | 3.6 | 851 | 7.4 | 3 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 34 | 0.9 | 60 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.8 | 7 | 1.0 | 104 | 0.9 | 33 |
| COLLETON | 32 | 0.9 | 68 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.5 | 14 | 1.9 | 116 | 1.0 | 29 |
| DARLINGTON | 80 | 2.2 | 142 | 2.1 | 3 | 0.8 | 24 | 3.3 | 249 | 2.2 | 13 |
| DILLON | 38 | 1.1 | 56 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 95 | 0.8 | 35 |
| DORCHESTER | 47 | 1.3 | 72 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.8 | 7 | 1.0 | 127 | 1.1 | 27 |
| FLORENCE | 96 | 2.7 | 341 | 5.1 | 9 | 2.4 | 25 | 3.4 | 471 | 4.1 | 5 |
| GEORGETOWN | 45 | 1.3 | 100 | 1.5 | 6 | 1.6 | 15 | 2.1 | 166 | 1.5 | 17 |
| HAMPTON | 15 | 0.4 | 42 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 59 | 0.5 | 43 |
| HORRY | 255 | 7.1 | 169 | 2.5 | 22 | 5.9 | 13 | 1.8 | 459 | 4.0 | 6 |
| JASPER | 18 | 0.5 | 55 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.8 | 79 | 0.7 | 39 |
| MARION | 18 | 0.5 | 109 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.5 | 11 | 1.5 | 140 | 1.2 | 23 |
| MARLBORO | 55 | 1.5 | 90 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.3 | 149 | 1.3 | 20 |
| WILLIAMSBURG | 13 | 0.4 | 105 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 17 | 2.3 | 136 | 1.2 | 24 |
| **OUT OF STATE** | **0** | **0.0** | **0** | **0.0** | **0** | **0.0** | **0** | **0.0** | **0** | **0.0** | - |
| **TOTAL** | **3599** | **100.0** | **6730** | **100.0** | **376** | **100.1** | **728** | **100.0** | **11433** | **100.0** | - |

* Ranking is in descending order according to the number of committments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked one.
** The regional percent is the sum of the counties in the region.

## Figure 8
## Inmate Admissions During FY 1991
## by Committing County and Correctional Region



# Table 8
# Offense Distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

| OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| DANGEROUS DRUGS | 670 | 9.2 | 2546 | 19.5 | 57 | 6.1 | 277 | 18.5 | 3550 | 15.6 |
| LARCENY | 1178 | 16.2 | 1705 | 13.1 | 92 | 9.9 | 251 | 16.8 | 3226 | 14.2 |
| TRAFFIC OFFENSES | 1094 | 15.1 | 1709 | 13.1 | 138 | 14.8 | 44 | 2.9 | 2985 | 13.1 |
| FRAUDULENT ACTIVITY | 749 | 10.3 | 673 | 5.2 | 395 | 42.4 | 424 | 28.3 | 2241 | 9.9 |
| BURGLARY | 960 | 13.2 | 1019 | 7.8 | 26 | 2.8 | 20 | 1.3 | 2025 | 8.9 |
| ASSAULT | 294 | 4.1 | 773 | 5.9 | 14 | 1.5 | 59 | 3.9 | 1140 | 5.0 |
| STOLEN VEHICLE | 306 | 4.2 | 590 | 4.5 | 9 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.7 | 915 | 4.0 |
| FORGERY | 173 | 2.4 | 446 | 3.4 | 76 | 8.2 | 141 | 9.4 | 836 | 3.7 |
| ROBBERY | 207 | 2.9 | 540 | 4.1 | 5 | 0.5 | 17 | 1.1 | 769 | 3.4 |
| WEAPON OFFENSE | 105 | 1.4 | 562 | 4.3 | 4 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.6 | 680 | 3.0 |
| OBSTRUCTING POLICE | 145 | 2.0 | 432 | 3.3 | 24 | 2.6 | 38 | 2.5 | 639 | 2.8 |
| FAMILY OFFENSE | 176 | 2.4 | 303 | 2.3 | 10 | 1.1 | 18 | 1.2 | 507 | 2.2 |
| OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE | 109 | 1.5 | 290 | 2.2 | 18 | 1.9 | 48 | 3.2 | 465 | 2.0 |
| STOLEN PROPERTY | 130 | 1.8 | 253 | 1.9 | 8 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.4 | 397 | 1.7 |
| DAMAGED PROPERTY | 140 | 1.9 | 202 | 1.6 | 7 | 0.8 | 15 | 1.0 | 364 | 1.6 |
| HOMICIDE | 118 | 1.6 | 184 | 1.4 | 8 | 0.9 | 30 | 2.0 | 340 | 1.5 |
| SEXUAL ASSAULT | 131 | 1.8 | 160 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 292 | 1.3 |
| PUBLIC PEACE | 94 | 1.3 | 149 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.5 | 29 | 1.9 | 277 | 1.2 |
| ACCESSARY TO A FELONY | 53 | 0.7 | 97 | 0.7 | 13 | 1.4 | 10 | 0.7 | 173 | 0.8 |
| FLIGHT/ESCAPE | 81 | 1.1 | 82 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.4 | 171 | 0.8 |
| DRUNKENESS | 88 | 1.2 | 72 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 167 | 0.7 |
| SEX OFFENSES | 75 | 1.0 | 70 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 147 | 0.6 |
| INVASION-PRIVACY | 43 | 0.6 | 72 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.9 | 132 | 0.6 |
| ARSON | 46 | 0.6 | 26 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.3 | 80 | 0.4 |
| LIQUOR | 22 | 0.3 | 13 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 37 | 0.2 |
| SMUGGLING | 18 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.1 |
| COMMERCIALIZED SEX | 3 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.1 |
| KIDNAPPING | 13 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 24 | 0.1 |
| CRIME AGAINST PERSON | 3 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.1 |
| HABITUAL OFFENDER | 2 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.0 |
| VAGRANCY | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.0 |
| GAMBLING | 2 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| PROPERTY CRIME | 5 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| CONSERVATION | 5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| EXTORTION | 4 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| TAX LAW EVASION | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.0 |
| EMBEZZLEMENT | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.0 |
| LICENSING VIOLATION | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.0 |
| BRIBERY | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| OBSCENE MATERIAL | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| PUBLIC ORDER | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| NUMBER OF OFFENSES ** | 7250 | 100 | 13030 | 100 | 931 | 100 | 1497 | 100 | 22708 | 100 |
| NUMBER OF OFFENDERS | 3599 | | 6730 | | 376 | | 728 | | 11433 | |

* An elaboration of these offenses is included in Appendix B.

** All offenses committed by inmates are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.

## Figure 9
## Offense Distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991



# Table 9
# Most Serious Offense of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

| OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| DANGEROUS DRUGS | 435 | 12.1 | 1704 | 25.3 | 40 | 10.6 | 190 | 26.1 | 2369 | 20.7 |
| TRAFFIC OFFENSES | 803 | 22.3 | 707 | 10.5 | 59 | 15.7 | 24 | 3.3 | 1593 | 13.9 |
| LARCENY | 460 | 12.8 | 766 | 11.4 | 43 | 11.4 | 135 | 18.5 | 1404 | 12.3 |
| BURGLARY | 417 | 11.6 | 616 | 9.2 | 13 | 3.5 | 11 | 1.5 | 1057 | 9.2 |
| FRAUDULENT ACTIVITY | 230 | 6.4 | 297 | 4.4 | 115 | 30.6 | 131 | 18.0 | 773 | 6.8 |
| ASSAULT | 164 | 4.6 | 430 | 6.4 | 8 | 2.1 | 43 | 5.9 | 645 | 5.6 |
| ROBBERY | 73 | 2.0 | 368 | 5.5 | 4 | 1.1 | 9 | 1.2 | 454 | 4.0 |
| STOLEN VEHICLE | 152 | 4.2 | 268 | 4.0 | 5 | 1.3 | 4 | 0.5 | 429 | 3.8 |
| FAMILY OFFENSE | 147 | 4.1 | 263 | 3.9 | 8 | 2.1 | 12 | 1.6 | 430 | 3.8 |
| FORGERY | 67 | 1.9 | 235 | 3.5 | 38 | 10.1 | 75 | 10.3 | 415 | 3.6 |
| HOMICIDE | 105 | 2.9 | 159 | 2.4 | 6 | 1.6 | 27 | 3.7 | 297 | 2.6 |
| SEXUAL ASSAULT | 98 | 2.7 | 128 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 226 | 2.0 |
| OBSTRUCTING POLICE | 51 | 1.4 | 148 | 2.2 | 4 | 1.1 | 11 | 1.5 | 214 | 1.9 |
| STOLEN PROPERTY | 62 | 1.7 | 133 | 2.0 | 3 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.4 | 201 | 1.8 |
| WEAPON OFFENSE | 26 | 0.7 | 113 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 144 | 1.3 |
| DAMAGED PROPERTY | 52 | 1.4 | 78 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 | 136 | 1.2 |
| OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE | 31 | 0.9 | 65 | 1.0 | 5 | 1.3 | 14 | 1.9 | 115 | 1.0 |
| SEX OFFENSES | 53 | 1.5 | 35 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 89 | 0.8 |
| PUBLIC PEACE | 24 | 0.7 | 46 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 | 11 | 1.5 | 82 | 0.7 |
| ACCESSARY TO A FELONY | 22 | 0.6 | 45 | 0.7 | 8 | 2.1 | 6 | 0.8 | 81 | 0.7 |
| DRUNKENESS | 46 | 1.3 | 30 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 79 | 0.7 |
| ARSON | 25 | 0.7 | 23 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.7 | 56 | 0.5 |
| INVASION-PRIVACY | 13 | 0.4 | 19 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 | 38 | 0.3 |
| FLIGHT/ESCAPE | 12 | 0.3 | 22 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 35 | 0.3 |
| KIDNAPPING | 7 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 0.1 |
| SMUGGLING | 6 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.1 |
| COMMERCIALIZED SEX | 3 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.1 |
| LIQUOR | 4 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 |
| GAMBLING | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| HABITUAL OFFENDER | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| CONSERVATION | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| TAX LAW EVASION | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| PROPERTY CRIME | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| EMBEZZLEMENT | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| LICENSING VIOLATION | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0 |
| CRIME AGAINST PERSON | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| BRIBERY | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| VAGRANCY | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| OBSCENE MATERIAL | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| PUBLIC ORDER | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 3599 | 100.0 | 6730 | 100.0 | 376 | 100.0 | 728 | 100.0 | 11433 | 100.0 |

* An elaboration of these offenses is included in Appendix B.

** All offenses committed by inmates are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.

## Figure 10
## Most Serious Offense of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991



# Table 10
# Sentence Length Distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

| SENTENCE LENGTH | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| SHOCK PROBATION | 41 | 1.1 | 39 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 83 | 0.7 |
| YOA | 448 | 12.4 | 989 | 14.7 | 20 | 5.3 | 31 | 4.3 | 1488 | 13.0 |
| RESTITUTION | 91 | 2.5 | 176 | 2.6 | 21 | 5.6 | 21 | 2.9 | 309 | 2.7 |
| 3 MOS. OR LESS | 188 | 5.2 | 352 | 5.2 | 21 | 5.6 | 58 | 8.0 | 619 | 5.4 |
| 3 MOS. 1 DY-1 YR | 691 | 19.2 | 1202 | 17.9 | 115 | 30.6 | 176 | 24.2 | 2184 | 19.1 |
| 1 YEAR | 403 | 11.2 | 568 | 8.4 | 43 | 11.4 | 91 | 12.5 | 1105 | 9.7 |
| 1 YR. 1 DY- 2 YRS. | 369 | 10.3 | 672 | 10.0 | 50 | 13.3 | 97 | 13.3 | 1188 | 10.4 |
| 2 YR. 1 DY- 3 YRS. | 295 | 8.2 | 485 | 7.2 | 30 | 8.0 | 74 | 10.2 | 884 | 7.7 |
| 3 YR. 1 DY- 4 YRS. | 111 | 3.1 | 226 | 3.4 | 15 | 4.0 | 18 | 2.5 | 370 | 3.2 |
| 4 YR. 1 DY- 5 YRS | 234 | 6.5 | 479 | 7.1 | 23 | 6.1 | 49 | 6.7 | 785 | 6.9 |
| 5 YR. 1 DY- 6 YRS. | 82 | 2.3 | 146 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.8 | 13 | 1.8 | 244 | 2.1 |
| 6 YR. 1 DY- 7 YRS. | 63 | 1.8 | 124 | 1.8 | 6 | 1.6 | 14 | 1.9 | 207 | 1.8 |
| 7 YR. 1 DY- 8 YRS. | 64 | 1.8 | 133 | 2.0 | 3 | 0.8 | 10 | 1.4 | 210 | 1.8 |
| 8 YR. 1 DY- 9 YRS. | 32 | 0.9 | 73 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.5 | 110 | 1.0 |
| 9 YR. 1 DY-10 YRS. | 145 | 4.0 | 254 | 3.8 | 9 | 2.4 | 24 | 3.3 | 432 | 3.8 |
| 10 YR. 1 DY-20 YRS | 195 | 5.4 | 503 | 7.5 | 7 | 1.9 | 35 | 4.8 | 740 | 6.5 |
| 20 YR. 1 DY-30 YRS. | 85 | 2.4 | 220 | 3.3 | 5 | 1.3 | 10 | 1.4 | 320 | 2.8 |
| OVER 30 YRS | 19 | 0.5 | 34 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 53 | 0.5 |
| LIFE W/10 YR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY. | 11 | 0.3 | 21 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 32 | 0.3 |
| LIFE W/20 YR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY | 21 | 0.6 | 28 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 52 | 0.5 |
| LIFE W/30 YR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY | 6 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.1 |
| DEATH | 5 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.1 |
| TOTAL | 3599 | 100.0 | 6730 | 100.0 | 376 | 100.0 | 728 | 100.0 | 11433 | 100.0 |
| AVERAGE SENTENCE LENGTH * | 4 YRS 5 MOS | | 5 YRS 1 MO. | | 2 YRS 7 MOS | | 3 YRS 3 MOS | | 4 YRS 8 MOS | |

* This average does not include inmates with life, death, and YOA sentences, shock probationers or restitutioners.

## Figure 11
## Sentence Lengths of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991



# Table 11
## Age distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

| ADMISSION AGE | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| UNDER 17 | 1 | 0.0 | 12 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 17-19 | 391 | 10.9 | 831 | 12.3 | 23 | 6.1 | 42 | 5.8 | 1287 | 11.3 |
| 20-24 | 806 | 22.4 | 1737 | 25.8 | 74 | 19.7 | 152 | 20.9 | 2769 | 24.2 |
| 25-29 | 796 | 22.1 | 1512 | 22.5 | 95 | 25.3 | 207 | 28.4 | 2610 | 22.8 |
| 30-34 | 609 | 16.9 | 1169 | 17.4 | 79 | 21.0 | 163 | 22.4 | 2020 | 17.7 |
| 35-39 | 395 | 11.0 | 773 | 11.5 | 62 | 16.5 | 106 | 14.6 | 1336 | 11.7 |
| 40-44 | 252 | 7.0 | 406 | 6.0 | 21 | 5.6 | 30 | 4.1 | 709 | 6.2 |
| 45-49 | 168 | 4.7 | 164 | 2.4 | 13 | 3.5 | 13 | 1.8 | 358 | 3.1 |
| 50-54 | 76 | 2.1 | 61 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.0 | 146 | 1.3 |
| 55-59 | 61 | 1.7 | 31 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.7 | 100 | 0.9 |
| 60-64 | 17 | 0.5 | 21 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 42 | 0.4 |
| 65-69 | 18 | 0.5 | 7 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.2 |
| 70 OR OVER | 9 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.1 |
| TOTAL | 3599 | 100.0 | 6730 | 100.0 | 376 | 100.0 | 728 | 100.0 | 11433 | 100.0 |
| SPECIAL GROUPINGS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 YEARS | 68 | | 156 | | 5 | | 4 | | 233 | |
| 18 AND OVER | 3530 | | 6562 | | 371 | | 724 | | 11187 | |
| 21 AND OVER | 3064 | | 5587 | | 339 | | 665 | | 9655 | |
| 24 AND UNDER | 1198 | | 2580 | | 97 | | 194 | | 4069 | |
| 62 AND OVER | 34 | | 22 | | 2 | | 3 | | 61 | |
| 65 AND OVER | 27 | | 13 | | 1 | | 2 | | 43 | |
| AVERAGE AGE | 30 | | 28 | | 31 | | 30 | | 29 | |

## Figure 12
## Age Distribution of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991



# Table 12
## Distribution by Committing Planning Districts of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

| PLANNING DISTRICTS* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| I APPALACHIAN | 1228 | 34.1 | 1724 | 25.6 | 151 | 40.2 | 248 | 34.1 | 3351 | 29.3 |
| II UPPER SAVANNAH | 210 | 5.8 | 468 | 7.0 | 22 | 5.9 | 51 | 7.0 | 751 | 6.6 |
| III CATAWBA | 266 | 7.4 | 342 | 5.1 | 35 | 9.3 | 36 | 4.9 | 679 | 5.9 |
| IV CENTRAL MIDLANDS | 385 | 10.7 | 956 | 14.2 | 45 | 12.0 | 103 | 14.1 | 1489 | 13.0 |
| V LOWER SAVANNAH | 237 | 6.6 | 576 | 8.6 | 23 | 6.1 | 61 | 8.4 | 897 | 7.8 |
| VI SANTEE-LYNCHES | 187 | 5.2 | 503 | 7.5 | 18 | 4.8 | 54 | 7.4 | 762 | 6.7 |
| VII PEE DEE | 321 | 8.9 | 798 | 11.9 | 19 | 5.1 | 70 | 9.6 | 1208 | 10.6 |
| VIII WACCAMAW | 313 | 8.7 | 374 | 5.6 | 29 | 7.7 | 45 | 6.2 | 761 | 6.7 |
| IX BERK.-CHASN.- DORC. | 352 | 9.8 | 709 | 10.5 | 30 | 8.0 | 35 | 4.8 | 1126 | 9.8 |
| X LOWCOUNTRY | 100 | 2.8 | 280 | 4.2 | 4 | 1.1 | 25 | 3.4 | 409 | 3.6 |
| XI OUT OF STATE | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 3599 | 100.0 | 6730 | 100.0 | 376 | 100.0 | 728 | 100.0 | 11433 | 100.0 |

* Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Appendix G.

## Figure 13
## Committing Planning Districts of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991



# Table 13
## Distribution by Committing Judicial Circuits of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991

| JUDICIAL CIRCUIT* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| 1 | 80 | 2.2 | 286 | 4.2 | 5 | 1.3 | 27 | 3.7 | 398 | 3.5 |
| 2 | 199 | 5.5 | 337 | 5.0 | 21 | 5.6 | 38 | 5.2 | 595 | 5.2 |
| 3 | 158 | 4.4 | 552 | 8.2 | 14 | 3.7 | 65 | 8.9 | 789 | 6.9 |
| 4 | 207 | 5.8 | 348 | 5.2 | 8 | 2.1 | 34 | 4.7 | 597 | 5.2 |
| 5 | 190 | 5.3 | 701 | 10.4 | 29 | 7.7 | 82 | 11.3 | 1002 | 8.8 |
| 6 | 98 | 2.7 | 208 | 3.1 | 7 | 1.9 | 20 | 2.7 | 333 | 2.9 |
| 7 | 368 | 10.2 | 496 | 7.4 | 41 | 10.9 | 56 | 7.7 | 961 | 8.4 |
| 8 | 224 | 6.2 | 422 | 6.3 | 25 | 6.6 | 47 | 6.5 | 718 | 6.3 |
| 9 | 307 | 8.5 | 637 | 9.5 | 27 | 7.2 | 28 | 3.8 | 999 | 8.7 |
| 10 | 233 | 6.5 | 179 | 2.7 | 40 | 10.6 | 23 | 3.2 | 475 | 4.2 |
| 11 | 211 | 5.9 | 288 | 4.3 | 18 | 4.8 | 26 | 3.6 | 543 | 4.7 |
| 12 | 114 | 3.2 | 450 | 6.7 | 11 | 2.9 | 36 | 4.9 | 611 | 5.3 |
| 13 | 627 | 17.4 | 1049 | 15.6 | 70 | 18.6 | 169 | 23.2 | 1915 | 16.7 |
| 14 | 103 | 2.9 | 305 | 4.5 | 4 | 1.1 | 28 | 3.8 | 440 | 3.8 |
| 15 | 300 | 8.3 | 269 | 4.0 | 28 | 7.4 | 28 | 3.8 | 625 | 5.5 |
| 16 | 180 | 5.0 | 203 | 3.0 | 28 | 7.4 | 21 | 2.9 | 432 | 3.8 |
| OUT OF STATE | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 3599 | 100.0 | 6730 | 100.0 | 376 | 100.0 | 728 | 100.0 | 11433 | 100.0 |

* Counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Appendix H.

## Figure 14
## Committing Judicial Circuits of Inmates Admitted During FY 1991



## Figure 15
## Race and Sex of Inmates - As Of June 30, 1991



# Table 14
## Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As of June 30, 1991)

| COMMITTING COUNTY | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | RANK* |
| **APPALACHIAN REGION**** | **2603** | **44.23** | **3580** | **31.2** | **180** | **50.1** | **277** | **37.5** | **6640** | **36.0** | - |
| ABBEVILLE | 26 | 0.4 | 64 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 7 | 1.0 | 98 | 0.5 | 41 |
| ANDERSON | 330 | 5.6 | 268 | 2.3 | 25 | 6.8 | 22 | 3.0 | 645 | 3.5 | 9 |
| CHEROKEE | 171 | 2.9 | 84 | 0.7 | 12 | 3.4 | 12 | 1.6 | 279 | 1.5 | 17 |
| EDGEFIELD | 14 | 0.2 | 117 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.5 | 136 | 0.7 | 39 |
| GREENVILLE | 747 | 12.7 | 1291 | 11.3 | 66 | 18.3 | 110 | 14.9 | 2214 | 12.0 | 1 |
| GREENWOOD | 93 | 1.6 | 223 | 1.9 | 7 | 2.0 | 23 | 3.1 | 346 | 1.9 | 14 |
| LAURENS | 99 | 1.7 | 166 | 1.4 | 5 | 1.4 | 7 | 1.0 | 277 | 1.5 | 18 |
| MCCORMICK | 9 | 0.2 | 50 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.7 | 64 | 0.3 | 45 |
| OCONEE | 128 | 2.2 | 55 | 0.5 | 11 | 3.1 | 3 | 0.4 | 197 | 1.1 | 29 |
| PICKENS | 168 | 2.9 | 69 | 0.6 | 13 | 3.7 | 3 | 0.4 | 253 | 1.4 | 21 |
| SALUDA | 20 | 0.3 | 54 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.4 | 77 | 0.4 | 43 |
| SPARTANBURG | 429 | 7.3 | 670 | 5.8 | 17 | 4.8 | 44 | 5.9 | 1160 | 6.3 | 4 |
| UNION | 86 | 1.5 | 100 | 0.9 | 9 | 2.5 | 10 | 1.4 | 205 | 1.1 | 27 |
| YORK | 283 | 4.8 | 369 | 3.2 | 13 | 3.7 | 24 | 3.3 | 689 | 3.7 | 8 |
| **MIDLANDS REGION**** | **1522** | **25.85** | **3725** | **32.5** | **85** | **23.7** | **231** | **31.2** | **5563** | **30.1** | - |
| AIKEN | 272 | 4.6 | 383 | 3.3 | 17 | 4.8 | 31 | 4.2 | 703 | 3.8 | 7 |
| BAMBERG | 22 | 0.4 | 127 | 1.1 | 4 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.7 | 158 | 0.9 | 36 |
| BARNWELL | 28 | 0.5 | 80 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.8 | 115 | 0.6 | 40 |
| CALHOUN | 6 | 0.1 | 32 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 38 | 0.2 | 46 |
| CHESTER | 64 | 1.1 | 120 | 1.1 | 5 | 1.4 | 5 | 0.7 | 194 | 1.1 | 30 |
| CLARENDON | 38 | 0.6 | 150 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.6 | 8 | 1.1 | 198 | 1.1 | 28 |
| FAIRFIELD | 25 | 0.4 | 112 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 141 | 0.8 | 38 |
| KERSHAW | 55 | 0.9 | 95 | 0.8 | 5 | 1.4 | 10 | 1.4 | 165 | 0.9 | 35 |
| LANCASTER | 113 | 1.9 | 144 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.6 | 11 | 1.5 | 270 | 1.5 | 19 |
| LEE | 23 | 0.4 | 138 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 10 | 1.4 | 172 | 0.9 | 34 |
| LEXINGTON | 299 | 5.1 | 251 | 2.2 | 19 | 5.1 | 12 | 1.6 | 581 | 3.1 | 11 |
| NEWBERRY | 53 | 0.9 | 141 | 1.2 | 4 | 1.1 | 8 | 1.1 | 206 | 1.1 | 26 |
| ORANGEBURG | 60 | 1.0 | 347 | 3.0 | 3 | 0.8 | 18 | 2.5 | 428 | 2.3 | 12 |
| RICHLAND | 309 | 5.2 | 1159 | 10.1 | 15 | 4.2 | 67 | 9.0 | 1550 | 8.4 | 3 |
| SUMTER | 155 | 2.6 | 446 | 3.9 | 6 | 1.7 | 37 | 4.9 | 644 | 3.5 | 10 |

# Table 14 (continued)
# Distribution by Committing County and Correctional Region of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As of June 30, 1991)

| COMMITTING COUNTY | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | RANK* |
| **COASTAL REGION**** | **1761** | **29.93** | **4162** | **36.3** | **94** | **26.2** | **230** | **31.1** | **6247** | **33.9** | - |
| ALLENDALE | 7 | 0.1 | 63 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.7 | 75 | 0.4 | 44 |
| BEAUFORT | 66 | 1.1 | 235 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 12 | 1.6 | 314 | 1.7 | 16 |
| BERKELEY | 110 | 1.9 | 115 | 1.0 | 4 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 230 | 1.2 | 24 |
| CHARLESTON | 371 | 6.3 | 1131 | 9.9 | 25 | 7.0 | 33 | 4.4 | 1560 | 8.5 | 2 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 73 | 1.2 | 110 | 1.0 | 4 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.7 | 192 | 1.0 | 31 |
| COLLETON | 51 | 0.9 | 148 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.6 | 15 | 2.0 | 216 | 1.2 | 25 |
| DARLINGTON | 136 | 2.3 | 240 | 2.1 | 7 | 2.0 | 17 | 2.3 | 400 | 2.2 | 13 |
| DILLON | 59 | 1.0 | 109 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.7 | 173 | 0.9 | 33 |
| DORCHESTER | 93 | 1.6 | 140 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.8 | 7 | 1.0 | 243 | 1.3 | 22 |
| FLORENCE | 153 | 2.6 | 561 | 4.9 | 11 | 3.1 | 39 | 5.2 | 764 | 4.1 | 6 |
| GEORGETOWN | 54 | 0.9 | 188 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.6 | 258 | 1.4 | 20 |
| HAMPTON | 17 | 0.3 | 78 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 97 | 0.5 | 42 |
| HORRY | 422 | 7.2 | 352 | 3.1 | 27 | 7.3 | 23 | 3.1 | 824 | 4.5 | 5 |
| JASPER | 28 | 0.5 | 115 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 14 | 1.9 | 158 | 0.9 | 36 |
| MARION | 44 | 0.8 | 178 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 12 | 1.6 | 236 | 1.3 | 23 |
| MARLBORO | 53 | 0.9 | 128 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.5 | 186 | 1.0 | 32 |
| WILLIAMSBURG | 24 | 0.4 | 271 | 2.4 | 2 | 0.6 | 24 | 3.3 | 321 | 1.7 | 15 |
| OUT OF STATE | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | - |
| TOTAL | 5886 | 100.0 | 11468 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 739 | 100.0 | 18452 | 100.0 | - |

* Ranking is in descending order according to number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked number one.
** The regional percent is the sum of the counties in the region.

## Figure 16
## Committing Counties and Correctional Regions of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 15
# Type of Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1991)

| OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| LARCENY | 3249 | 17.9 | 4040 | 14.3 | 112 | 8.4 | 275 | 16.6 | 7676 | 15.56 |
| BURGLARY | 2805 | 15.4 | 4055 | 14.4 | 38 | 2.9 | 39 | 2.3 | 6937 | 14.06 |
| DANGEROUS DRUGS | 1397 | 7.7 | 4928 | 17.5 | 85 | 6.4 | 417 | 25.1 | 6827 | 13.84 |
| TRAFFIC OFFENSES | 2045 | 11.2 | 1400 | 5.0 | 94 | 7.1 | 28 | 1.7 | 3567 | 7.23 |
| ROBBERY | 771 | 4.2 | 2558 | 9.1 | 20 | 1.5 | 49 | 3.0 | 3398 | 6.89 |
| FRAUDULENT ACTVITY | 1322 | 7.3 | 1011 | 3.6 | 638 | 48.1 | 338 | 20.3 | 3309 | 6.71 |
| ASSAULT | 955 | 5.2 | 2078 | 7.4 | 24 | 1.8 | 69 | 4.2 | 3126 | 6.34 |
| HOMICIDE | 771 | 4.2 | 1227 | 4.4 | 62 | 4.7 | 108 | 6.5 | 2168 | 4.39 |
| STOLEN VEHICLE | 862 | 4.7 | 1218 | 4.3 | 5 | 0.4 | 13 | 0.8 | 2098 | 4.25 |
| FORGERY | 648 | 3.6 | 992 | 3.5 | 126 | 9.5 | 168 | 10.1 | 1934 | 3.92 |
| SEXUAL ASSAULT | 750 | 4.1 | 981 | 3.5 | 5 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 1738 | 3.52 |
| WEAPON OFFENSE | 365 | 2.0 | 650 | 2.3 | 7 | 0.5 | 15 | 0.9 | 1037 | 2.10 |
| STOLEN PROPERTY | 274 | 1.5 | 528 | 1.9 | 5 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.5 | 816 | 1.65 |
| FLIGHT/ESCAPE | 390 | 2.1 | 408 | 1.4 | 7 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.6 | 815 | 1.65 |
| OBSTRUCTING POLICE | 145 | 0.8 | 549 | 1.9 | 16 | 1.2 | 36 | 2.2 | 746 | 1.51 |
| DAMAGED PROPERTY | 255 | 1.4 | 279 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.4 | 545 | 1.10 |
| ACCESSARY TO A FELONY | 188 | 1.0 | 278 | 1.0 | 23 | 1.7 | 19 | 1.1 | 508 | 1.03 |
| SEX OFFENSES | 195 | 1.1 | 119 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 316 | 0.64 |
| FAMILY OFFENSE | 121 | 0.7 | 156 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.7 | 12 | 0.7 | 298 | 0.60 |
| KIDNAPPING | 123 | 0.7 | 139 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 269 | 0.55 |
| ARSON | 146 | 0.8 | 101 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.5 | 12 | 0.7 | 266 | 0.54 |
| SMUGGLING | 133 | 0.7 | 102 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 241 | 0.49 |
| OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE | 96 | 0.5 | 127 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.4 | 241 | 0.49 |
| PUBLIC PEACE | 47 | 0.3 | 116 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.4 | 171 | 0.35 |
| INVASION-PRIVACY | 53 | 0.3 | 49 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.5 | 115 | 0.23 |
| DRUNKENESS | 19 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 39 | 0.08 |
| LIQUOR-UNKNOWN | 14 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 26 | 0.05 |
| CRIME AGAINST PERSON | 10 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 24 | 0.05 |
| COMMERCIALIZED SEX | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.03 |
| PROPERTY CRIME | 12 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 0.03 |
| EXTORTION | 7 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.02 |
| HABITUAL OFFENDER | 1 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.02 |
| BRIBERY | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.02 |
| CONSERVATION | 7 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.02 |
| OBSCENE MATERIAL | 7 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.01 |
| GAMBLING | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.01 |
| TAX LAW EVASION | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.01 |
| LICENSING VIOLATION | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.00 |
| EMBEZZLEMENT | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.00 |
| HEALTH/SAFETY | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.00 |
| VAGRANCY | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.00 |
| PUBLIC ORDER | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| NUMBER OF OFFENSES** | 18194 | 100.0 | 28160 | 100.0 | 1327 | 100.0 | 1661 | 100.0 | 49342 | 100.0 |
| NUMBER OF OFFENDERS | 5886 | | 11468 | | 359 | | 739 | | 18452 | |

* An elaboration of these offenses is included in Appendix B.

** All offenses committed by an inmate are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.

## Figure 17
## Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population
## (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 16
# Most Serious Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1991)

| OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| DANGEROUS DRUGS | 713 | 12.1 | 2770 | 24.2 | 49 | 13.6 | 261 | 35.3 | 3793 | 20.6 |
| BURGLARY | 1002 | 17.0 | 1642 | 14.3 | 19 | 5.3 | 18 | 2.4 | 2681 | 14.5 |
| LARCENY | 678 | 11.5 | 1092 | 9.5 | 35 | 9.7 | 110 | 14.9 | 1915 | 10.4 |
| HOMICIDE | 676 | 11.5 | 1069 | 9.3 | 52 | 14.5 | 94 | 12.7 | 1891 | 10.2 |
| ROBBERY | 366 | 6.2 | 1326 | 11.6 | 11 | 3.1 | 23 | 3.1 | 1726 | 9.4 |
| ASSAULT | 340 | 5.8 | 828 | 7.2 | 16 | 4.5 | 41 | 5.5 | 1225 | 6.6 |
| SEXUAL ASSAULT | 525 | 8.9 | 667 | 5.8 | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.3 | 1197 | 6.5 |
| TRAFFIC OFFENSES | 507 | 8.6 | 365 | 3.2 | 33 | 9.2 | 7 | 0.9 | 912 | 4.9 |
| FORGERY | 112 | 1.9 | 310 | 2.7 | 39 | 10.9 | 69 | 9.3 | 530 | 2.9 |
| FRAUDULENT ACTVITY | 189 | 3.2 | 180 | 1.6 | 64 | 17.8 | 69 | 9.3 | 502 | 2.7 |
| STOLEN VEHICLE | 166 | 2.8 | 313 | 2.7 | 2 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.4 | 484 | 2.6 |
| STOLEN PROPERTY | 86 | 1.5 | 177 | 1.5 | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.3 | 268 | 1.5 |
| KIDNAPPING | 90 | 1.5 | 117 | 1.0 | 4 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 213 | 1.2 |
| FAMILY OFFENSE | 64 | 1.1 | 114 | 1.0 | 5 | 1.4 | 6 | 0.8 | 189 | 1.0 |
| SEX OFFENSES | 113 | 1.9 | 64 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 178 | 1.0 |
| DAMAGED PROPERTY | 56 | 1.0 | 75 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.4 | 134 | 0.7 |
| OBSTRUCTING POLICE | 28 | 0.5 | 94 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.8 | 130 | 0.7 |
| WEAPON OFFENSE | 29 | 0.5 | 91 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.5 | 125 | 0.7 |
| ARSON | 48 | 0.8 | 49 | 0.4 | 6 | 1.7 | 10 | 1.4 | 113 | 0.6 |
| ACCESSARY TO A FELONY | 30 | 0.5 | 58 | 0.5 | 6 | 1.7 | 5 | 0.7 | 99 | 0.5 |
| OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE | 17 | 0.3 | 16 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 35 | 0.2 |
| INVASION | 16 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.1 |
| PUBLIC PEACE | 6 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 24 | 0.1 |
| FLIGHT/ESCAPE | 7 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 0.1 |
| DRUNKENESS | 6 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.1 |
| SMUGGLING | 5 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.1 |
| HABITUAL OFFENDER | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| OBSCENE MATERIAL | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| COMMERCIALIZED SEX | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 |
| CRIME AGAINST PERSON | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| BRIBERY | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| TAX LAW EVASION | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| CONSERVATION | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| EMBEZZLEMENT | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| HEALTH/SAFETY | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| LIQUOR | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| GAMBLING | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 5886 | 100.0 | 11468 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 739 | 100.0 | 18452 | 100.0 |

*An elaboration of these offenses is included in Appendix B.

## Figure 18
## Most Serious Offense of Total Inmate Population
## (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 17
# Sentence Length Distribution
# of SCDC Total Inmate Population
# (As Of June 30, 1991)

| SENTENCE LENGTH | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| SHOCK INCARCERATION | 45 | 0.8 | 135 | 1.2 | 7 | 1.9 | 8 | 1.1 | 195 | 1.1 |
| RESTITUTION | 28 | 0.5 | 63 | 0.5 | 5 | 1.4 | 10 | 1.4 | 106 | 0.6 |
| YOA | 303 | 5.1 | 567 | 4.9 | 10 | 2.8 | 17 | 2.3 | 897 | 4.9 |
| 3 MOS. OR LESS | 30 | 0.5 | 50 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.8 | 88 | 0.5 |
| 3 MOS. 1 DAY- 1 YEAR | 169 | 2.9 | 303 | 2.6 | 29 | 8.1 | 41 | 5.5 | 542 | 2.9 |
| 1 YEAR | 198 | 3.4 | 296 | 2.6 | 16 | 4.5 | 39 | 5.3 | 549 | 3.0 |
| 1 YR. 1 DAY- 2 YEARS | 312 | 5.3 | 581 | 5.1 | 37 | 10.3 | 85 | 11.5 | 1015 | 5.5 |
| 2 YR. 1 DAY- 3 YEARS | 377 | 6.4 | 630 | 5.5 | 40 | 11.1 | 77 | 10.4 | 1124 | 6.1 |
| 3 YEAR. 1 DAY- 4 YEAR | 187 | 3.2 | 412 | 3.6 | 22 | 6.1 | 41 | 5.5 | 662 | 3.6 |
| 4 YR. 1 DAY- 5 YEARS | 485 | 8.2 | 1071 | 9.3 | 39 | 10.9 | 86 | 11.6 | 1681 | 9.1 |
| 5 YR. 1 DAY- 6 YEARS | 226 | 3.8 | 387 | 3.4 | 10 | 2.8 | 29 | 3.9 | 652 | 3.5 |
| 6 YR. 1 DAY- 7 YRS. | 182 | 3.1 | 406 | 3.5 | 19 | 5.3 | 34 | 4.6 | 641 | 3.5 |
| 7 YR. 1 DAY- 8 YEARS | 205 | 3.5 | 465 | 4.1 | 7 | 1.9 | 24 | 3.2 | 701 | 3.8 |
| 8 YR. 1 DAY- 9 YEARS | 115 | 2.0 | 236 | 2.1 | 3 | 0.8 | 14 | 1.9 | 368 | 2.0 |
| 9 YR. 1 DAY-10 YEARS | 501 | 8.5 | 1054 | 9.2 | 20 | 5.6 | 42 | 5.7 | 1617 | 8.8 |
| 10 YR. 1 DAY-20 YEARS | 1117 | 19.0 | 2344 | 20.4 | 34 | 9.5 | 119 | 16.1 | 3614 | 19.6 |
| 20 YR. 1 DAY-30 YEARS | 642 | 10.9 | 1296 | 11.3 | 23 | 6.4 | 36 | 4.9 | 1997 | 10.8 |
| OVER 30 YEARS | 228 | 3.9 | 442 | 3.9 | 3 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 674 | 3.7 |
| LIFE W/10 YR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY | 209 | 3.6 | 288 | 2.5 | 5 | 1.4 | 6 | 0.8 | 508 | 2.8 |
| LIFE W/20 YR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY | 276 | 4.7 | 376 | 3.3 | 26 | 7.2 | 21 | 2.8 | 699 | 3.8 |
| LIFE W/30 YR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY | 27 | 0.5 | 43 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 74 | 0.4 |
| DEATH | 24 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 48 | 0.3 |
| TOTAL | 5886 | 100.0 | 11468 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 739 | 100.0 | 18452 | 100.0 |
| AVERAGE SENTENCE LENGTH* | 12 YRS. 2 MOS. | | 12 YRS. 3 MOS. | | 7 YRS. 3 MOS. | | 7 YRS. 5 MOS. | | 11 YRS. 11 MOS. | |

* This average does not include inmates with life, death, YOA, Shock Incarceration, or Restitution sentences.

## Figure 19
## Sentence Lengths of SCDC Total Inmate Population
## (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 18
# Age Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population
# (As Of June 30, 1991)

| CURRENT AGE * | WHITE MALE | | NON WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| UNDER 17 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 17-19 | 233 | 4.0 | 566 | 4.9 | 14 | 3.9 | 15 | 2.0 | 828 | 4.5 |
| 20-24 | 947 | 16.1 | 2192 | 19.1 | 39 | 10.9 | 90 | 12.2 | 3268 | 17.7 |
| 25-29 | 1332 | 22.6 | 2909 | 25.4 | 74 | 20.6 | 219 | 29.6 | 4534 | 24.6 |
| 30-34 | 1237 | 21.0 | 2470 | 21.5 | 98 | 27.3 | 188 | 25.4 | 3993 | 21.6 |
| 35-39 | 858 | 14.6 | 1694 | 14.8 | 60 | 16.7 | 129 | 17.5 | 2741 | 14.9 |
| 40-44 | 550 | 9.3 | 915 | 8.0 | 35 | 9.7 | 51 | 6.9 | 1551 | 8.4 |
| 45-49 | 341 | 5.8 | 363 | 3.2 | 23 | 6.4 | 19 | 2.6 | 746 | 4.0 |
| 50-54 | 174 | 3.0 | 170 | 1.5 | 7 | 1.9 | 13 | 1.8 | 364 | 2.0 |
| 55-59 | 109 | 1.9 | 83 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.8 | 10 | 1.4 | 205 | 1.1 |
| 60-64 | 56 | 1.0 | 59 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.3 | 120 | 0.7 |
| 65-69 | 32 | 0.5 | 17 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.3 | 53 | 0.3 |
| 70 OR OVER | 17 | 0.3 | 24 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 43 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL | 5886 | 100.0 | 11468 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 739 | 100.0 | 18452 | 100.0 |
| SPECIAL GROUPINGS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 YEARS | 16 | | 63 | | 1 | | 0 | | 80 | |
| 18 AND OVER | 5870 | | 11399 | | 358 | | 739 | | 18366 | |
| 21 AND OVER | 5451 | | 10426 | | 338 | | 705 | | 16920 | |
| 24 AND UNDER | 1180 | | 2764 | | 53 | | 105 | | 4102 | |
| 62 AND OVER | 83 | | 66 | | 5 | | 4 | | 158 | |
| 65 AND OVER | 49 | | 41 | | 3 | | 3 | | 96 | |
| AVERAGE AGE | 33 | | 31 | | 33 | | 32 | | 32 | |

* This distribution reflects the age of inmates as of June 30, 1991

## Figure 20
## Age of SCDC Total Inmate Population
## (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 19
# Age at Time of Admission
# of SCDC Total Inmate Population
# (As Of June 30, 1991)

| AGE | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| UNDER 17 | 29 | 0.5 | 60 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 90 | 0.5 |
| 17-19 | 527 | 9.0 | 1218 | 10.6 | 22 | 6.1 | 29 | 3.9 | 1796 | 9.7 |
| 20-24 | 1306 | 22.2 | 2987 | 26.0 | 57 | 15.9 | 123 | 16.6 | 4473 | 24.2 |
| 25-29 | 1335 | 22.7 | 2763 | 24.1 | 91 | 25.3 | 231 | 31.3 | 4420 | 24.0 |
| [illegible] | 1034 | 17.6 | 2057 | 17.9 | 76 | 21.2 | 166 | 22.5 | 3333 | 18.1 |
| [illegible] | 684 | 11.6 | 1186 | 10.3 | 54 | 15.0 | 116 | 15.7 | 2040 | 11.1 |
| 40-44 | 405 | 6.9 | 606 | 5.3 | 30 | 8.4 | 34 | 4.6 | 1075 | 5.8 |
| 45-49 | 239 | 4.1 | 266 | 2.3 | 18 | 5.0 | 17 | 2.3 | 540 | 2.9 |
| 50-54 | 136 | 2.3 | 135 | 1.2 | 4 | 1.1 | 10 | 1.4 | 285 | 1.5 |
| 55-59 | 92 | 1.6 | 81 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.8 | 181 | 1.0 |
| 60-64 | 44 | 0.7 | 48 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.4 | 98 | 0.5 |
| 65-69 | 33 | 0.6 | 36 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 72 | 0.4 |
| 70 OR OVER | 22 | 0.4 | 25 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 49 | 0.3 |
| TOTAL | 5886 | 100.0 | 11468 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 739 | 100.0 | 18452 | 100.0 |
| SPECIAL GROUPINGS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 YEARS | 101 | | 232 | | 5 | | 2 | | 340 | |
| 18 AND OVER | 5756 | | 11176 | | 354 | | 736 | | 18022 | |
| 21 AND OVER | 5052 | | 9561 | | 328 | | 692 | | 15633 | |
| 24 AND UNDER | 1862 | | 4266 | | 79 | | 153 | | 6360 | |
| 62 AND OVER | 67 | | 75 | | 5 | | 4 | | 151 | |
| 65 AND OVER | 55 | | 61 | | 2 | | 3 | | 121 | |
| AVERAGE AGE | 30 | | 28 | | 32 | | 30 | | 29 | |

**Figure 21**
**Age at Time of Admission**
**of SCDC Total Inmate Population**
**(As Of June 30, 1991)**



# Table 20
# Security Level Distribution
# of SCDC Total Inmate Population
# (As Of June 30, 1991)

| SECURITY LEVEL | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| **APPALACHIAN REGION** | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA TRUSTY | 220 | 12.3 | 264 | 10.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.6 | 486 | 10.9 |
| A TRUSTY | 558 | 31.2 | 988 | 38.5 | 30 | 100.0 | 54 | 96.4 | 1630 | 36.7 |
| B MEDIUM | 668 | 37.4 | 879 | 34.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1547 | 34.8 |
| C CLOSE | 152 | 8.5 | 203 | 7.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 355 | 8.0 |
| M MAXIMUM | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.2 |
| INTAKE | 54 | 3.0 | 79 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 133 | 3.0 |
| PROTECTIVE | 17 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.5 |
| ADMIN SEG. | 116 | 6.5 | 143 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 259 | 5.8 |
| **TOTAL** | **1787** | **100.0** | **2567** | **100.0** | **30** | **100.0** | **56** | **100.0** | **4440** | **100.0** |
| **MIDLANDS REGION** | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA TRUSTY | 162 | 7.7 | 377 | 7.8 | 47 | 17.7 | 96 | 17.1 | 682 | 8.8 |
| A TRUSTY | 898 | 42.6 | 2229 | 46.1 | 90 | 33.8 | 198 | 35.4 | 3415 | 43.9 |
| B MEDIUM | 700 | 33.2 | 1506 | 31.1 | 89 | 33.5 | 188 | 33.6 | 2483 | 31.9 |
| C CLOSE | 154 | 7.3 | 330 | 6.8 | 17 | 6.4 | 24 | 4.3 | 525 | 6.8 |
| M MAXIMUM | 35 | 1.7 | 46 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.2 | 83 | 1.1 |
| INTAKE | 52 | 2.5 | 140 | 2.9 | 13 | 4.9 | 30 | 5.4 | 235 | 3.0 |
| PROTECTIVE | 12 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 0.2 |
| ADMIN SEG. | 95 | 4.5 | 209 | 4.3 | 9 | 3.4 | 23 | 4.1 | 336 | 4.3 |
| **TOTAL** | **2108** | **100.0** | **4840** | **100.0** | **266** | **100.0** | **560** | **100.0** | **7774** | **100.0** |
| **COASTAL REGION** | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA TRUSTY | 76 | 5.7 | 218 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 294 | 6.8 |
| A TRUSTY | 238 | 18.0 | 602 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 840 | 19.4 |
| B MEDIUM | 732 | 55.3 | 1648 | 54.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2380 | 55.0 |
| C CLOSE | 133 | 10.0 | 269 | 9.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 402 | 9.3 |
| M MAXIMUM | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 |
| INTAKE | 51 | 3.9 | 112 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 163 | 3.8 |
| PROTECTIVE | 20 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.5 |
| ADMIN SEG. | 73 | 5.5 | 150 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 223 | 5.2 |
| **TOTAL** | **1324** | **100.0** | **3005** | **100.0** | **0** | **100.0** | **0** | **100.0** | **4329** | **100.0** |
| **OTHER LOCATIONS*** | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA TRUSTY | 397 | 59.5 | 699 | 66.2 | 54 | 62.3 | 108 | 72.4 | 1258 | 65.9 |
| A TRUSTY | 190 | 28.5 | 209 | 19.8 | 3 | 13.2 | 5 | 10.3 | 407 | 21.3 |
| B MEDIUM | 29 | 4.3 | 39 | 3.7 | 0 | 3.8 | 0 | 13.8 | 68 | 3.6 |
| C CLOSE | 7 | 1.0 | 14 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 1.2 |
| M MAXIMUM | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.2 |
| INTAKE | 11 | 1.6 | 29 | 2.7 | 0 | 20.8 | 0 | 3.4 | 40 | 2.1 |
| PROTECTIVE | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| ADMIN SEG. | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.2 |
| RESTITUTION | 28 | 4.2 | 63 | 6.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.0 | 106 | 5.6 |
| **TOTAL** | **667** | **100.0** | **1056** | **100.0** | **63** | **100.0** | **123** | **100.0** | **1909** | **100.0** |
| **SCDC TOTAL** | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA TRUSTY | 855 | 14.5 | 1558 | 13.6 | 101 | 28.1 | 206 | 27.9 | 2720 | 14.7 |
| A TRUSTY | 1884 | 32.0 | 4028 | 35.1 | 123 | 34.3 | 257 | 34.8 | 6292 | 34.1 |
| B MEDIUM | 2129 | 36.2 | 4072 | 35.5 | 89 | 24.8 | 188 | 25.4 | 6478 | 35.1 |
| C CLOSE | 446 | 7.6 | 816 | 7.1 | 18 | 5.0 | 24 | 3.2 | 1304 | 7.1 |
| M MAXIMUM | 41 | 0.7 | 58 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 101 | 0.5 |
| INTAKE | 168 | 2.9 | 360 | 3.1 | 13 | 3.6 | 30 | 4.1 | 571 | 3.1 |
| PROTECTIVE | 51 | 0.9 | 8 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 59 | 0.3 |
| ADMIN SEG. | 284 | 4.8 | 505 | 4.4 | 9 | 2.5 | 23 | 3.1 | 821 | 4.4 |
| RESTITUTION | 28 | 0.5 | 63 | 0.5 | 5 | 1.4 | 10 | 1.4 | 106 | 0.6 |
| **TOTAL** | **5886** | **100.0** | **11468** | **100.0** | **359** | **100.0** | **739** | **100.0** | **18452** | **100.0** |

* These include designated facilities, hospital facilities,authorized absences, states under the Corrections Compact, Restitution Centers, and community diversionary programs.

## Figure 22
## Security Level of SCDC Total Inmate Population
## (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 21
# Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1991)

| PLANNING DISTRICTS* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| I APPALACHIAN | 1973 | 33.5 | 2437 | 21.3 | 144 | 40.1 | 194 | 26.3 | 4748 | 25.7 |
| II UPPER SAVANNAH | 262 | 4.5 | 673 | 5.9 | 14 | 3.9 | 49 | 6.6 | 998 | 5.4 |
| III CATAWBA | 546 | 9.3 | 734 | 6.4 | 29 | 8.1 | 50 | 6.8 | 1359 | 7.4 |
| IV CENTRAL MIDLANDS | 686 | 11.7 | 1663 | 14.5 | 39 | 10.9 | 90 | 12.2 | 2478 | 13.4 |
| V LOWER SAVANNAH | 395 | 6.7 | 1032 | 9.0 | 25 | 7.0 | 65 | 8.8 | 1517 | 8.2 |
| VI SANTEE-LYNCHES | 271 | 4.6 | 829 | 7.2 | 14 | 3.9 | 65 | 8.8 | 1179 | 6.4 |
| VII PEE DEE | 517 | 8.8 | 1326 | 11.6 | 25 | 7.0 | 82 | 11.1 | 1950 | 10.6 |
| VIII WACCAMAW | 500 | 8.5 | 811 | 7.1 | 33 | 9.2 | 59 | 8.0 | 1403 | 7.6 |
| IX BERK.-CHASN.- DORC. | 574 | 9.8 | 1386 | 12.1 | 32 | 8.9 | 41 | 5.5 | 2033 | 11.0 |
| X LOWCOUNTRY | 162 | 2.8 | 576 | 5.0 | 4 | 1.1 | 43 | 5.8 | 785 | 4.3 |
| XI OUT OF STATE | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 5886 | 100.0 | 11468 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 739 | 100.0 | 18452 | 100.0 |

* Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Appendix G.

## Figure 23
## Committing Planning Districts
## of Total Inmate Population
## (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 22
# Committing Judicial Circuits
# of SCDC Total Inmate Population
# (As of June 30, 1991)

| JUDICIAL CIRCUIT* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| 1 | 159 | 2.7 | 519 | 4.5 | 6 | 1.7 | 25 | 3.4 | 709 | 3.8 |
| 2 | 322 | 5.5 | 590 | 5.1 | 22 | 6.1 | 42 | 5.7 | 976 | 5.3 |
| 3 | 240 | 4.1 | 1005 | 8.8 | 11 | 3.1 | 79 | 10.7 | 1335 | 7.2 |
| 4 | 320 | 5.4 | 587 | 5.1 | 12 | 3.3 | 31 | 4.2 | 950 | 5.1 |
| 5 | 364 | 6.2 | 1254 | 10.9 | 20 | 5.6 | 77 | 10.4 | 1715 | 9.3 |
| 6 | 202 | 3.4 | 376 | 3.3 | 8 | 2.2 | 19 | 2.6 | 605 | 3.3 |
| 7 | 601 | 10.2 | 754 | 6.6 | 29 | 8.1 | 56 | 7.6 | 1440 | 7.8 |
| 8 | 271 | 4.6 | 593 | 5.2 | 17 | 4.7 | 45 | 6.1 | 926 | 5.0 |
| 9 | 481 | 8.2 | 1246 | 10.9 | 29 | 8.1 | 34 | 4.6 | 1790 | 9.7 |
| 10 | 458 | 7.8 | 323 | 2.8 | 36 | 10.0 | 25 | 3.4 | 842 | 4.6 |
| 11 | 342 | 5.8 | 472 | 4.1 | 20 | 5.6 | 24 | 3.2 | 858 | 4.6 |
| 12 | 197 | 3.3 | 739 | 6.4 | 13 | 3.6 | 51 | 6.9 | 1000 | 5.4 |
| 13 | 916 | 15.6 | 1360 | 11.9 | 79 | 22.0 | 113 | 15.3 | 2468 | 13.4 |
| 14 | 169 | 2.9 | 639 | 5.6 | 4 | 1.1 | 48 | 6.5 | 860 | 4.7 |
| 15 | 476 | 8.1 | 540 | 4.7 | 31 | 8.6 | 35 | 4.7 | 1082 | 5.9 |
| 16 | 368 | 6.3 | 470 | 4.1 | 22 | 6.1 | 34 | 4.6 | 894 | 4.8 |
| OUT OF STATE | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 5886 | 100.0 | 11468 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 739 | 100.0 | 18452 | 100.0 |

* Counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Appendix H.

## Figure 24
## Committing Judicial Circuits
## of SCDC Total Inmate Population
## (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 23
## Remaining Time to Serve Before Expiration of Sentence of SCDC Total Inmate Population (As Of June 30, 1991)

| REMAINING TIME TO SERVE* | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| YOA | 303 | 5.1 | 567 | 4.9 | 10 | 2.8 | 17 | 2.3 | 897 | 4.9 |
| SHOCK INCARCERATION | 45 | 0.8 | 135 | 1.2 | 7 | 1.9 | 8 | 1.1 | 195 | 1.1 |
| RESTITUTION | 28 | 0.5 | 63 | 0.5 | 5 | 1.4 | 10 | 1.4 | 106 | 0.6 |
| 3 MOS. OR LESS | 609 | 10.3 | 1140 | 9.9 | 68 | 18.9 | 127 | 17.2 | 1944 | 10.5 |
| 3 MOS. 1 DAY-6 MONTHS | 374 | 6.4 | 703 | 6.1 | 40 | 11.1 | 69 | 9.3 | 1186 | 6.4 |
| 6 MOS. 1 DAY-9 MONTHS. | 311 | 5.3 | 572 | 5.0 | 30 | 8.4 | 43 | 5.8 | 956 | 5.2 |
| 9 MOS. 1 DAY-1 YEAR | 264 | 4.5 | 505 | 4.4 | 21 | 5.8 | 41 | 5.5 | 831 | 4.5 |
| 1 YR. 1 DAY- 2 YEARS | 806 | 13.7 | 1615 | 14.1 | 40 | 11.1 | 125 | 16.9 | 2586 | 14.0 |
| 2 YR. 1 DAY- 3 YEARS | 550 | 9.3 | 1138 | 9.9 | 37 | 10.3 | 73 | 9.9 | 1798 | 9.7 |
| 3 YR. 1 DAY- 4 YEARS | 420 | 7.1 | 872 | 7.6 | 8 | 2.2 | 38 | 5.1 | 1338 | 7.3 |
| 4 YR. 1 DAY- 5 YEARS | 324 | 5.5 | 643 | 5.6 | 11 | 3.1 | 42 | 5.7 | 1020 | 5.5 |
| 5 YR. 1 DAY- 6 YEARS | 260 | 4.4 | 547 | 4.8 | 9 | 2.5 | 35 | 4.7 | 851 | 4.6 |
| 6 YR. 1 DAY- 7 YEARS | 194 | 3.3 | 447 | 3.9 | 10 | 2.8 | 24 | 3.2 | 675 | 3.7 |
| 7 YR. 1 DAY- 8 YEARS | 167 | 2.8 | 387 | 3.4 | 6 | 1.7 | 20 | 2.7 | 580 | 3.1 |
| 8 YR. 1 DAY- 9 YEARS | 139 | 2.4 | 293 | 2.6 | 9 | 2.5 | 13 | 1.8 | 454 | 2.5 |
| 9 YR. 1 DAY-10 YEARS | 112 | 1.9 | 181 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.3 | 297 | 1.6 |
| 10 YR. 1 DAY- 15 YEARS | 293 | 5.0 | 612 | 5.3 | 11 | 3.1 | 18 | 2.4 | 934 | 5.1 |
| 15 YR. 1 DAY-20 YEARS | 68 | 1.2 | 180 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 252 | 1.4 |
| 20 YR. 1 DAY-25 YEARS | 37 | 0.6 | 67 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 105 | 0.6 |
| 25 YR. 1 DAY-30 YEARS | 13 | 0.2 | 26 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 39 | 0.2 |
| OVER 30 YRS | 33 | 0.6 | 45 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 79 | 0.4 |
| LIFE/DEATH | 536 | 9.1 | 730 | 6.4 | 33 | 9.2 | 30 | 4.1 | 1329 | 7.2 |
| TOTAL | 5886 | 100.0 | 11468 | 100.0 | 359 | 100.0 | 739 | 100.0 | 18452 | 100.0 |
| AVERAGE TIME TO SERVE** | 4 YEARS | | 4 YEARS | | 2 YRS. 4 MOS. | | 2 YRS. 7 MOS. | | 3 YRS. 11 MOS. | |

* Full impact for statutory, meritorious, and work credits as earned have been included; projections as to credits to be accrued have not been made in time remaining calculations.

** Excludes youthful offenders, shock incarceration, restitution, and inmates with life and death sentences.

## Figure 25
## Remaining Time to Serve
## of SCDC Total Inmate Population
## (As Of June 30, 1991)



# Table 24
## Distribution of Time Served
## By SCDC Inmates Released During Fiscal Year 1991

| TIME SERVED | WHITE MALE | | NON-WHITE MALE | | WHITE FEMALE | | NON-WHITE FEMALE | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| 3 MOS. OR LESS | 757 | 22.2 | 1182 | 21.2 | 115 | 32.1 | 176 | 26.3 | 2230 | 22.3 |
| 3 MOS. 1 DY-6 MOS. | 525 | 15.4 | 774 | 13.8 | 75 | 21.0 | 133 | 20.0 | 1507 | 15.0 |
| 6 MOS. 1 DY-9 MOS. | 418 | 12.3 | 754 | 13.5 | 48 | 13.4 | 84 | 12.6 | 1304 | 13.0 |
| 9 MOS. 1 DY-1 YEAR | 161 | 4.7 | 282 | 5.0 | 17 | 4.7 | 48 | 7.2 | 508 | 5.1 |
| 1 YR. 1 DY- 2 YEARS | 625 | 18.3 | 1029 | 18.4 | 57 | 15.9 | 112 | 16.8 | 1823 | 18.2 |
| 2 YR. 1 DY- 3 YEARS | 317 | 9.3 | 529 | 9.5 | 26 | 7.3 | 55 | 8.3 | 927 | 9.3 |
| 3 YR. 1 DY- 4 YEARS | 168 | 4.9 | 287 | 5.1 | 8 | 2.2 | 20 | 3.0 | 483 | 4.8 |
| 4 YR. 1 DY- 5 YEARS | 109 | 3.2 | 213 | 3.8 | 6 | 1.7 | 7 | 1.1 | 335 | 3.3 |
| 5 YR. 1 DY- 6 YEARS | 95 | 2.8 | 164 | 2.9 | 5 | 1.4 | 3 | 0.5 | 267 | 2.7 |
| 6 YR. 1 DY- 7 YEARS | 45 | 1.3 | 84 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 10 | 1.5 | 140 | 1.4 |
| 7 YR. 1 DY- 8 YEARS | 36 | 1.1 | 58 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.6 | 98 | 1.0 |
| 8 YR. 1 DY- 9 YEARS | 31 | 0.9 | 41 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 74 | 0.7 |
| 9 YR. 1 DY-10 YEARS | 30 | 0.9 | 36 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 68 | 0.7 |
| 10 YR. 1 DY-15 YEARS | 71 | 2.1 | 95 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 1.1 | 173 | 1.7 |
| 15 YR. 1 DY- 20 YEARS | 16 | 0.5 | 44 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 62 | 0.6 |
| 20 YR. 1 DY-30 YEARS | 5 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 0.1 |
| OVER 30 YRS | 1 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.1 |
| TOTAL | 3410 | 100.0 | 5587 | 100.0 | 358 | 100.0 | 666 | 100.0 | 10021 | 100.0 |
| AVERAGE TIME SERVED* | 1 YR. 11 MOS. | | 1 YR. 11 MOS. | | 1 YR. 0 MOS. | | 1 YR. 3 MOS. | | 1 YR. 10 MOS. | |

*Inmates released due to conditions such as paid fine,appeal bond, death, shock probation,etc. are not included in these averages.

## Figure 26
## Distribution of Time Served by Inmates Released During FY 1991



# Table 25
## Distribution of Work Credits Earned and Type of Release of SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1991

| WORK CREDITS EARNED | YOA PAROLE | PAROLED BY SCDPPP | EXPIRATION OF SENTENCE | OTHER RELEASES* | PLACED ON PROBATION | EPA RELEASES | RESTITUTION CENTER | SHOCK PROB/INCAR | TOTAL |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| N/A | 942 | 0 | 281 | 317 | 52 | 0 | 298 | 386 | 2,276 |
| 0 | 0 | 36 | 675 | 139 | 183 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1,036 |
| 1 - 50 | 0 | 122 | 1,870 | 58 | 979 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3,034 |
| 51 - 100 | 0 | 189 | 435 | 16 | 307 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 957 |
| 101 - 150 | 0 | 192 | 324 | 14 | 186 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 717 |
| 151 - 200 | 0 | 134 | 195 | 8 | 136 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 483 |
| 201 - 250 | 0 | 106 | 144 | 7 | 94 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 364 |
| 251 - 300 | 0 | 72 | 126 | 3 | 54 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 262 |
| 301 - 350 | 0 | 49 | 68 | 10 | 48 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 176 |
| 351 - 400 | 0 | 54 | 55 | 2 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 401 - 450 | 0 | 46 | 48 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| 451 - 500 | 0 | 28 | 32 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 501 - 550 | 0 | 22 | 28 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 551 - 600 | 0 | 21 | 20 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 601 - 650 | 0 | 20 | 33 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 651 - 700 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 701 - 750 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 751 - 800 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 801 - 850 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 851 - 900 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 901 - 950 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 951 - 1000 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 1001 - 1050 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 1051 - 1100 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 1101 - 1150 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1151 - 1200 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1201 - 1250 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 1251 - 1300 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 1301 - 1350 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1351 - 1400 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1401 - 1450 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL RELEASES | 942 | 1163 | 4430 | 591 | 2110 | 101 | 298 | 386 | 10021 |
| TOTAL WORK CREDITS EARNED | 0 | 421,420 | 282,792 | 24,301 | 176,202 | 29,163 | 0 | 0 | 933,878 |
| AVERAGE CREDITS EARNED PER INMATE RELEASE** | 0 | 362 | 68 | 89 | 86 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 121 |

* Other releases include inmates discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine or death.

** Inmates who did not participate in motivational work programs, and inmates for whom work credits are not applicable are excluded from the computation of these averages.

# Table 26
# Community Program Statistics
# Fiscal Year 1991

| INMATE FLOWS | 30-DAY PRE-RELEASE PROGRAM | WORK RELEASE, EDUCATIONAL RELEASE, FEDERAL PROGRAMS | EXTENDED WORK RELEASE PROGRAM |
|---|---|---|---|
| Participants in Program at Beginning of Fiscal Year | 91 | 784 | 219 |
| Admitted During Fiscal Year | 2,739 | 2,354 | 342 |
| Total Loss During Fiscal Year | 2,667 | 2,072 | 297 |
| Dismissed | 88 | 401 | 44 |
| Released | 1,661 | 562 | 94 |
| Paroled | 828 | 424 | 78 |
| Transferred | 90 | 685 | 81 |
| Participated in Program at End of Fiscal Year | 163 | 1,066 | 264 |

Source: The Division of Community Services.

# Table 27
# Number and Percentage of Inmates Admitted to SCDC Under the 1975 Armed Robbery Act and the Life Sentence with 20- and 30-Year Parole Eligibility Acts (Fiscal Years 1976 - 1991)

| FISCAL YEAR | TOTAL ADMS. | INMATES SENTENCED UNDER ARMED ROBBERY ACT OF 1975 | | | INMATES SENTENCED TO LIFE WITH PAROLE ELIGIBILITY OF: | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | 20 Years | | 30 Years | |
| | | Number Admitted | Percent of Total Admissions | Average Sentence Length* | Number Admitted | Percent of Total Adms. | Number Admitted | Percent of Total Adms. |
| 1976 | 5,408 | 249 | 4.6 | 18 years 1 month | N/A** | - | N/A*** | - |
| 1977 | 5,130 | 243 | 4.7 | 22 years 2 months | 10 | 0.2 | N/A | - |
| 1978 | 5,150 | 218 | 4.2 | 19 years 2 months | 46 | 0.9 | N/A | - |
| 1979 | 4,683 | 202 | 4.3 | 21 years 1 month | 37 | 0.8 | N/A | - |
| 1980 | 5,049 | 191 | 3.8 | 22 years | 57 | 1.1 | N/A | - |
| 1981 | 5,511 | 236 | 4.3 | 20 years 6 months | 33 | 0.6 | N/A | - |
| 1982 | 5,830 | 149 | 2.6 | 21 years 10 months | 53 | 0.9 | N/A | - |
| 1983 | 6,378 | 176 | 2.8 | 22 years 8 months | 51 | 0.8 | N/A | - |
| 1984 | 6,209 | 174 | 2.8 | 23 years 3 months | 58 | 0.9 | N/A | - |
| 1985 | 6,750 | 203 | 3.0 | 23 years 8 months | 52 | 0.8 | N/A | - |
| 1986 | 7,397 | 168 | 2.3 | 20 years 8 months | 64 | 0.9 | N/A | - |
| 1987 | 7,952 | 229 | 2.9 | 25 years 1 month | 49 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 1988 | 8,502 | 186 | 2.2 | 22 years 4 months | 55 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.2 |
| 1989 | 10,471 | 256 | 2.4 | 19 years 7 months | 39 | 0.4 | 19 | 0.2 |
| 1990 | 11,095 | 183 | 1.6 | 22 years 7 months | 44 | 0.4 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 1991 | 11,433 | 174 | 1.5 | 22 years 8 months | 52 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.1 |

* Excludes life, death and YOA sentences.
** Not Applicable--Act was not legislated until June 8, 1977.
***Effective date June 3, 1986.

# Table 28
# Death Row Statistics
# Fiscal Year 1991

| INMATE FLOWS | MALE | | FEMALE | | TOTAL |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | |
| **Total Number on Death Row at Beginning of Fiscal Year** | 22 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| **Admitted During Fiscal Year** | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| **Total Loss During Fiscal Year** | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Sentence Commuted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retried and Released | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Resentenced | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Death | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Executed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total Number on Death Row at End of Fiscal Year** | 24 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 48 |
| **Average Age** | 35 Yrs | 31 Yrs | 42 Yrs | - | 33 Yrs |
| **Average Time Served** | 5 Yrs. 8 Mos. | 6 Yrs. 8 Mos. | 7 Mos | - | 6 Yrs |

# Table 29
## Shock Incarceration Statistics
## Fiscal Year 1991

| | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | NUMBER | PERCENT |
| **EVALUATION** | **690** | **81** | **771** | **100.0** |
| Court Ordered | 103 | 13 | 116 | 15.0 |
| SCDC Inititated | 587 | 68 | 655 | 85.0 |
| **PARTICIPATION** | | | | |
| PLACEMENTS | **406** | **66** | **472** | **100.0** |
| Court Ordered | 47 | 5 | 52 | 11.0 |
| SCDC Inititated | 359 | 61 | 420 | 89.0 |
| RELEASES | **225** | **48** | **273** | **100.0** |
| PAROLED | 189 | 43 | 232 | 85.0 |
| Court Ordered | 33 | 3 | 36 | 13.2 |
| SCDC Inititated | 156 | 40 | 196 | 71.8 |
| REMOVED | 36 | 5 | 41 | 15.0 |
| Court Ordered | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1.1 |
| SCDC Inititated | 33 | 5 | 38 | 13.9 |

# Table 30
# Distribution of SCDC Employees by Race, Sex, and Type of Position (As Of June 16, 1991)

| TYPE OF POSITION | White Male | | Non-White Male | | White Female | | Non-White Female | | TOTAL | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number | Percent* | Number | Percent* | Number | Percent* | Number | Percent* | Number | Percent* |
| Security ** | 1,071 | 18.0 | 1,634 | 27.5 | 353 | 5.9 | 687 | 11.6 | 3,745 | 63.0 |
| Non-Security | 782 | 13.2 | 365 | 6.1 | 669 | 11.3 | 383 | 6.4 | 2,199 | 37.0 |
| SCDC TOTAL | 1,853 | 31.2 | 1,999 | 33.6 | 1,022 | 17.2 | 1,070 | 18.0 | 5,944 | 100.0 |

*Percentages are based on the grand total of 5,944 employees as of June 16, 1991.

**Security Personnel includes all uniformed personnel, i.e: correctional officers, correctional officer assistant supervisors, correctional officer supervisors, and chief correctional officer supervisors.

## Figure 27
## SCDC Employees by Race, Sex, and Type of Position
## (As Of June 16, 1991)



# Table 31
# Distribution of SCDC Security Strength by Facility
# (As Of June 16, 1991)

| FACILITIES | NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS AUTHORIZED | NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS ACTUALLY ASSIGNED | | | AVERAGE INMATE POP. *** | NUMBER OF INMATES PER AUTHORIZED CORR. OFFICER |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | | |
| **APPALACHIAN CORRECTIONAL REGION** | **1,073** | **686** | **322** | **1,008** | **4,271** | **4.0** |
| Appalachian Regional Office | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | |
| Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Center | 16 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 193 | 12.1 |
| Catawba Work Center | 15 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 159 | 10.6 |
| Cross Anchor Correctional Institution | 133 | 91 | 39 | 130 | 586 | 4.4 |
| Dutchman Correctional Institution | 141 | 95 | 44 | 139 | 519 | 3.7 |
| Givens Youth Correctional Center | 17 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 122 | 7.2 |
| Greenwood Correctional Center | 17 | 13 | 3 | 16 | 93 | 5.5 |
| Greenwood Work Camp | 16 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 86 | 5.4 |
| Leath Correctional Center | 127 | 23 | 75 | 98 | | |
| Livesay Work Center | 10 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 93 | 9.3 |
| Spartanburg Rest Center | 12 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 49 | 4.1 |
| McCormick Correctional Institution | 231 | 174 | 48 | 222 | 1,093 | 4.7 |
| Northside Correctional Center | 41 | 34 | 9 | 43 | 341 | 8.3 |
| Northside Work Camp | 15 | 9 | 4 | | 55 | |
| Perry Correctional Institution | 281 | 189 | 74 | 263 | 882 | 3.1 |
| **MIDLANDS CORRECTIONAL REGION** | **1,977** | **1,339** | **506** | **1,845** | **7,181** | **3.6** |
| Aiken Youth Correctional Center | 61 | 45 | 17 | 62 | 303 | 5.0 |
| Broad River Correctional Institution | 376 | 290 | 69 | 359 | 1,308 | 3.5 |
| Byrnes Clinic | 34 | 25 | 8 | 33 | 11 | 0.3 |
| Campbell Work Center | 22 | 16 | 5 | 21 | 222 | 10.1 |
| Columbia Rest. Ctr | 12 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 44 | 3.7 |
| Central Correctional Institution | 365 | 279 | 78 | 357 | 1,326 | 3.6 |
| Goodman Correctional Institution | 71 | 56 | 14 | 70 | 461 | 6.5 |
| Kirkland Correctional Institution | 305 | 211 | 53 | 264 | 604 | 2.0 |
| Lower Savannah Work Center | 21 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 155 | 7.4 |
| Lower Savannah Work Camp | 15 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 77 | 5.1 |
| Manning Correctional Institution | 148 | 93 | 23 | 116 | 523 | 3.5 |
| State Park Correctional Center | 106 | 48 | 52 | 100 | 354 | 3.3 |
| Stevenson Correctional Institution | 85 | 60 | 17 | 77 | 180 | 2.1 |
| Walden Correctional Institution | 55 | 40 | 12 | 52 | 320 | 5.8 |
| Wateree River Correctional Institution | 135 | 107 | 20 | 127 | 644 | 4.8 |
| Watkins Pre-Release Center | 28 | 24 | 4 | 28 | 143 | 5.1 |
| Women's Correctional Center | 136 | 17 | 114 | 131 | 486 | 3.5 |
| **COASTAL CORRECTIONAL REGION** | **926** | **671** | **209** | **868** | **4,122** | **4.5** |
| Allendale Correctional Institution | 245 | 166 | 71 | 237 | 1,055 | 4.3 |
| Coastal Work Center | 16 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 158 | 9.9 |
| Evans Correctional Institution | 235 | 163 | 57 | 220 | 1,067 | 4.5 |
| Lieber Correctional Center | 319 | 254 | 54 | 308 | 1,267 | 4.0 |
| MacDougall Correctional Institution | 76 | 55 | 16 | 71 | 575 | 7.6 |
| Palmer Work Center | 21 | 12 | 6 | 18 | | |
| Palmer Work Camp | 14 | 11 | 1 | | | |
| Misc. Assigned **** | 12 | 9 | 3 | 12 | | |
| **TOTAL** | **3,988** | **2,705** | **1,040** | **3,501** | **15,554** | **3.9** |

Source: Division of Personnel Administration

* Security Personnel includes all uniformed personnel, i.e. Correctional Officers, Correctional Officer Assistant Supervisor, Correctional Officer Supervisors, and Chief Correctional Officers.

** This date is closest to the end of the period of which information for developing this table is available.

*** Fiscal Year Averages (Includes Restitution Centers and Byrnes Clinic)

**** All non-institutionalized security not designated above.

NOTE: Leath Correctional Center and Palmer Work Camp have been authorized security positions but are not housing inmates.

# Appendices

A. Statutory Authority of the Department of Corrections

B. Offense Classification

C. Youthful Offender Act

D. Supervised Furlough

E. Earned Work Credit

F. Community Programs

G. Counties Comprising Regional Councils (Planning Districts)

H. Counties Comprising Judicial Circuits

## Appendix A

# Statutory Authority

The South Carolina Department of Corrections was created in 1960 (Title 24, Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976, as amended) as an administrative agency of the State government. The Department was charged to "implement and carry out the policy of the State with respect to its prison system...and the performance of such other duties and matters as may be delegated to it pursuant to law."

The State's policy is expressed in Section 24-1-20: "It shall be the policy of this State in the operation and management of the Department of Corrections to manage and conduct the Department in such a manner as will be consistent with the operation of a modern prison system, and with the view of making the system self-sustaining, and that those convicted of violating the law and sentenced to a term in the (Department of Corrections) shall have humane treatment, and be given opportunity, encouragement and training in the matter of reformation."

Title 24 also provides statutory authority for a Board of Corrections, employment of a general Commissioner, management and control of the prison system, fiscal and procurement activities, and such other matters as are essential to the operation of a modern state prison system.

# Appendix B

# Offense Classification

**Arson**

**Assault**
- Aggravated Assault/Aggravated Assault & Battery Public Officer, With or Without Weapon
- Intimidation
- Assault & Battery With Intent to Kill

**Bribery**
- Bribe Giving/Offering/Receiving
- Conflict of Interest
- Gratuity Giving/Offering/Receiving
- Kickback Giving/Offering/Receiving
- Athletes

**Burglary**
- 1st/2nd/3rd Degree
- Forcible Entry to Residence/Non-Residence
- Non-Forcible Entry to Residence/Non-Residence
- Possession of Burglary Tools

**Commercialized Sex Offenses**
- Keeping/Frequenting House of Ill Fame
- Procurement for Prostitution
- Prostitution

**Computer Crimes**

**Conservation**
- Animals/Birds/Fish
- Environment
- License Stamp
- Animal Fighting or Baiting

**Crimes Against Persons**
- Hazing
- Lynching

**Damage to Property**
- Damage to Property
- Damage to Property with Explosive

**Dangerous Drugs**
- Distribution/Sale/Possession/Trafficking of:
  - Hallucinogen
  - Heroin
  - Opium
  - Cocaine
  - Synthetic Narcotics
  - Marijuana
  - Amphetamines
  - Barbiturates
  - Legend Drugs
- Possession of Narcotic Equipment

**Drunkenness**

**Election Laws**

**Embezzlement**

**Extortion**
- Blackmail by Threatening:
  - Injury to Person
  - Damage to Property

**Family Offenses**
- Neglect or Non-Support
- Cruelty Toward Child/Wife
- Bigamy

**Family Offenses** (continued)
- Contributing to Delinquency of Minor

**Flight/Escape**
- Flight to Avoid Prosecution
- Aiding Prison Escape
- Harboring Escapee
- Escape or Attempted Escape

**Forgery and Counterfeiting**
- Forgery of Checks/ID Objects
- Passing/Distributing Counterfeit Items
- Forgery Free Text

**Fraudulent Activities**
- Mail Fraud or Other Swindling
- Impersonation
- False Statement
- Fraudulent Use of Credit Cards
- Insufficient Funds for Checks

**Gambling**
- Bookmaking
- Card/Dice Operation
- Possession/Transportation/Non-Registration of Gambling Device/Goods

**Lottery**
- Sports Tampering
- Transmitting Wager Information

**Health/Safety**
- Misbranded Drug/Food/Cosmetics
- Adulterated Drugs/Food/Cosmetics

**Homicide**
- Willful Killing Family/Non-Family
- Willful Killing Public Officer
- Negligible Manslaughter W/Vehicle or Weapon
- Manslaughter, Vol. or Invol.
- Poisoning
- Murder

**Immigration**
- Illegal Entry
- False Citizenship
- Smuggling Aliens

**Invasion of Privacy**
- Eavesdropping Information/Order
- Divulge Eavesdropping Equipment
- Open Sealed Communication
- Trespassing or Wiretapping
- Telephone Harassment

**Kidnapping**
- Kidnapping for Ransom
- Kidnapping to Sexually Assault
- Hostage for Escape
- Abduction, No Ransom or Assault
- Hijacking Aircraft

**Larceny**
- Pursesnatching Without Force
- Shoplifting
- Housebreaking
- Grand Larceny
- Pickpocket

## Appendix B (continued)

# Offense Classification

**License Violation**
- Conducting Funeral Without License

**Liquor**
- Manufacture/Sale/Possession of Liquor

**Miscellaneous Crimes**
- Accessory to a Felony
- Criminal Conspiracy
- Unremoved Container Door
- Keeping Child Out of School
- Misconduct in Office
- Possession of Tools for Crime
- Slander/Libel
- Tatooing

**Obscene Materials**
- Manufacture/Sale/Mail/Possession
- Distribution/Communication of Obscene Materials

**Obstructing Justice**
- Perjury
- Contempt of Court
- Misconduct of Judicial Officer
- Contempt of Congress/Legislature
- Parole/Probation/Conditional Release Violation
- Failure to Appear

**Obstructing Police**
- Resisting Officer
- Obstructing Criminal Investigation
- Making False Report
- Evidence Destroying
- Refusing to Aid Officer
- Unauthorized Communication with Prisoner
- Failure to Report Crime

**Property Crimes**
- Trespassing
- Unlawful Use of Property
- Theft of Cable TV Service

**Public Peace**
- Engaging in/Inciting Riot
- Unlawful Assembly
- False Fire Alarm
- Harassing Communication
- Desecrating Flag
- Disorderly Conduct
- Disturbing the Peace
- Curfew Violation
- Littering

**Robbery**
- Robbery With or Without Weapon
- Pursesnatching
- Bank Robbery
- Highway Robbery
- Armed Robbery
- Accessory to Armed Robbery

**Sex Offenses**
- Fondling of Child
- Homosexual Act
- Incest
- Indecent Exposure
- Bestiality
- Peeping Tom
- Seduction

**Sexual Assault**
- Rape, With or Without Weapon
- Sodomy
- Statutory Rape
- Carnal Abuse
- Buggery
- Intent to Ravish
- Criminal Sexual Conduct

**Smuggling**
- Contraband In Prison
- To Avoid Paying Duty

**Stolen Property**
- Sale of Stolen Property
- Transportation of Stolen Property
- Receiving/Possession of Stolen Property

**Stolen Vehicle**
- Theft/Sale/Stripping Stolen Vehicle
- Receiving Stolen Vehicle
- Interstate Transportation of Unauthorized Use of Vehicle
- Aircraft Theft

**Tax Revenue**
- Income/Sale/Liquor Tax Evasion
- Tax Evasion

**Traffic Offenses**
- Hit and Run
- Transporting Dangerous Material
- Felony Driving Under the Influence
- Driving Under Influence/Suspension

**Vagrancy**

**Weapon Offenses**
- Altering Weapon
- Carrying Concealed/Prohibited
- Teaching Use, Transporting or Using Incendiary Device/Explosives
- Firing/Selling Weapon
- Threat to Burn/Bomb
- Possession in Violent Offense

## Appendix C

# Youthful Offender Act

In 1968, the General Assembly enacted legislation, commonly referred to as the "Youthful Offender Act," to prescribe for the correction and treatment of youthful offenders (Section 24-19-10 through 24-19-160, Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976.) The following is a summary of the act, with supplemental notes on the administration thereof.

A "youthful offender" is any male or female offender who is at least seventeen but less than twenty-five years of age at the time of conviction.

Within the Department of Corrections, there is a Youthful Offender Division which through the end of the fiscal year 1988 carried out three primary functions: presentence investigation services and recommendations to the sentencing court; institutional services and supervision of youthful offenders committed to the Department's care; and aftercare services, i.e., parole of youthful offenders and professional supervision of the parolee. (The Department of Corrections has contracted with the S.C. Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services to perform the presentence, parole and aftercare services effective July 1, 1988.)

In the administration of the Act, the courts may release a youthful offender to the Department prior to sentencing for an observation and evaluation period of not more than 60 days. A thorough presentence investigation report is made to the court for use in adjudication and sentencing. The report is a factual and diagnostic case study, which includes a clinical interpretation of the offender's present attitude, feelings and emotional responses, together with an estimate of his prospects for change.

A youthful offender may be sentenced indefinitely (although the period may not exceed six years) to the custody of the Department. Upon sentencing, the youthful offender undergoes a series of interviews, a medical evaluation, psychological and educational testing, and is given an orientation on confinement within the Department. Youthful offenders are sent to minimum or medium security institutions. Work, education and counseling programs are prescribed, and it is the offender's progress in such programs which ultimately decides when or if he will be moved into pre-release work programs and eventually be paroled.

Parole of youthful offenders after they have served a portion of a court sentence is a conditional release of the offender. He remains under supervision, normally for a minimum of one year. Parole supervisors are responsible for providing constant, direct professional supervision of the youthful offender, as well as for organizing and developing the services of volunteers to assist in the aftercare program. Complaints against parolees are investigated and appropriate action taken when indicated. The Department may revoke an order of parole when the action is deemed necessary, and return the youthful offender parolee to a correctional institution for further treatment. A youthful offender is ultimately discharged unconditionally on or before six years from the date of his conviction.

The Act also provides that if the court finds the youthful offender will not derive benefit from treatment, the court may sentence the youthful offender under any other applicable penalty provision. Offenders so sentenced are also placed in the custody of the Department of Corrections.

## Appendix D

# Supervised Furlough

South Carolina enacted a Supervised Furlough Program in 1981, and the General Assembly modified the program in 1983, 1986, and 1987. Following is a summary of the program as provided for in Section 24-13-710, S.C. Code of Laws.

The Department of Corrections and the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services have developed a cooperative agreement for the operation of the Supervised Furlough Program. The program permits carefully screened and selected inmates who have served the mandatory minimum sentence as required by law or have not committed any one of certain specified crimes* to be released on furlough prior to maximum release eligibility under the supervision of the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. These inmates have the privilege of residing in an approved residence and continuing treatment, training, or employment in the community until parole eligibility or expiration of sentence, whichever is earlier.

The statute further provides that to be eligible for the program, an inmate must: (1) maintain a clear disciplinary record for at least six months prior to consideration; (2) demonstrate to Department of Corrections officials a general desire to become a law-abiding member of society; (3) satisfy any other reasonable requirements imposed upon him by the Department; and (4) have an identifiable need for and willingness to participate in authorized community-based programs and rehabilitative services.

The Department of Corrections has established certain criteria which must be met by an otherwise eligible individual: no outstanding holds, wanteds, or detainers; must not have been removed from participation in a community program within six months of eligibility for supervised furlough; must not be released directly from a psychiatric unit; must not have escaped or been returned from escape within six months of eligibility; must not currently be a participant in the Extended Work Program; must have a residence in South Carolina verified and approved by the Department; must not have a pending disciplinary action; must have served at least six months of his sentence and be within six months of release; and must have served six months free of a formal disciplinary infraction prior to eligibility date.

When placed in the Supervised Furlough Program, an inmate comes under the supervision of agents of the Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services who insure the inmate's compliance with the rules, regulations, and conditions of the program, as well as monitoring the inmate's employment and participation in prescribed and authorized rehabilitative programs.

---

*(Criminal sexual conduct in the third degree; or a lewd act upon a child under the age of fourteen; or a violent crime (i.e. murder, criminal sexual conduct in the first and second degree, assault and battery with intent to kill, kidnapping, voluntary manslaughter, armed robbery, drug trafficking, arson in the first degree, and burglary in the first and second degree).)

## Appendix E

# Earned Work Credit Program

The Earned Work Credit Program had its beginning in the Litter Control Program, Act 496, 1978, which substantially rewrote Section 24-13-230, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976. Currently, the SCDC Commissioner is authorized to allow a reduction of time served by inmates assigned to a productive duty assignment, or who are regularly enrolled in academic, technical, or vocational training programs.

The Earned Work Credit Program is considered a motivational program for inmates to help reduce their sentences, and is one strategy whereby the Department tries to stabilize inmate population, reduce overcrowding, and help control capital improvements and operating costs.

The Commissioner has determined the amount of credit to be earned for each duty classification or enrollment and published SCDC Policy 1700.1, which prescribes the guidelines and procedures for the management and administration of the program. At the end of the fiscal year, approximately 260 types of jobs in SCDC institutions were described and approved.

There are four job classification levels; Earned Work Credit is awarded on the basis of these classifications and work performed in the assigned job. An inmate must work at least five hours per day or at least 25 hours per week to be considered "full time" and awarded Earned Work Credits. The job classification levels are:

Level 2: One Earned Work Credit for each two days worked.

Level 3: One Earned Work Credit for each three days worked.

Level 5: One Earned Work Credit for each five days worked.

Level 7: One Earned Work Credit for each seven days worked.

Most of the jobs available to inmates fall into the following broad categories: cafeteria and food service, construction, driving vehicles, education and library, farm work, industrial jobs in prison industries, institutional maintenance, printers and photographers, public works projects, recreation, staff clerical support. Additionally, some inmates are in community placement (work release, extended work release and supervised furlough) and may be engaged in any one of hundreds of jobs found in their local community.

There are limitations on the Earned Work Credit Program; some of these are: anyone serving a life sentence for murder is prohibited from earning credits under the program; educational credits are not available to any individual convicted of a crime designated as violent in Section 16-1-60, Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976; persons sentenced under the Shock Incarceration Program, Youthful Offender Act, serving sentences under the Interstate Corrections Compact in South Carolina, and inmates serving sentences for non-support/contempt of court are not eligible for EWC; the maximum annual credit for both work and educational credits is limited to 180 days.

The profile of inmates at each job classification level of productive work on June 30, 1991 was as follows:

| Level | Full Time | Part Time | No. of Inmates |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Two:** One day credit for each two days worked | 5,808 | 3 | 5,811 (31.5%) |
| **Three:** One day credit for each three days worked | 3,974 | 32 | 4,006 (21.7%) |
| **Five:** One day credit for each five days worked | 2,536 | 73 | 2,609 (14.1%) |
| **Seven:** One day credit for each seven days worked | 1,308 | 139 | 1,447 (7.8%) |
| **Unassigned**/Not Earning Credit* | 4,560 | 0 | 4,560 (24.7%) |
| **Total** | 18,186 | 247 | 18,452 (100.0%) |

*Inmates undergoing transfer, reception and evaluation processing, administrative disciplinary action, unassigned, or on Death Row.

Earned Work Credits have the effect of reducing the SCDC population level (by reducing the time served of released inmates) and operational costs. Between July 1, 1990, and June 30, 1991, a total of 10,021 inmates were released from SCDC. Of that number, 6,709 inmates (67%) had their time served reduced via the productive work provisions of the Litter Control Program.

## Appendix F

# Community Programs Defined

## 30-Day Pre-Release Program

Inmates who complete their sentences or are conditionally paroled, participate in this program. It offers participants a series of pre-release training sessions at the Watkins Pre-Release Center and the Blue Ridge Community Pre-Release Center. Inmates on the 30-Day Pre-Release Program do not work in the community.

## Community Work and Educational Programs

Inmates participating in the Short-Term Work Program, Regular Work Program, Educational Program, work in the community during the day and reside in SCDC work centers. These programs have similar selection criteria but differ in terms of the inmates' remaining time to serve before eligibility for parole or other forms of release.

## Extended Work Program

This program allows the exceptional work program inmate to continue employment in the community and reside with an approved community sponsor. Program participants continue to be responsible to the work center while under direct supervision of local agents of the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services through a contractual arrangement between the two agencies.

## Furlough Program

"AA" custody inmates within the Department are eligible to apply for 72-hour home visit furloughs four times during the year: Easter, July 4th, Labor Day, and Christmas. After an inmate successfully completes four consecutive 72-hour furloughs, he/she may apply for one 48-hour furlough per calendar year.

Furloughs may be granted for inmates to attend the funeral of an immediate family member, visit a critically/terminally ill family member, obtain outside medical services not otherwise available within the Department, contact prospective employers, or secure a suitable residence for use upon release or parole, or participate in educational/training programs, in the community.

## Restitution Center Program

This program, operated by the Department in agreement with the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services, provides a supervised living environment in community-based Restitution Centers for probationers and parolees while they are gainfully employed, perform free community service work, pay Court/Parole ordered obligations/other costs incurred and participate in various educational and rehabilitative programs in accordance to their individual needs.

## Appendix G

# South Carolina's Ten Regional Councils (Planning Districts)

In 1971, local governments throughout the state formed regional councils - sometimes called planning districts - to act on their behalf. The councils provide a variety of services requested by their local governments, including grants administration, economic development assistance, and planning and management assistance. The services vary from region to region, depending on local needs and priorities. The councils do not pass legislation, enforce laws or levy taxes. Their goal is to work with local governments and public agencies to increase their efficiency and effectiveness.

Presently, the ten regional councils are composed of the following counties (SCDC correctional regions are noted for reference purposes.)

SCDC Appalachian Correctional Region

1. South Carolina Appalachian Council of Governments - Anderson, Cherokee, Greenville, Oconee, Pickens, and Spartanburg.

2. Upper Savannah Council of Governments - Abbeville, Edgefield, Greenwood, Laurens, McCormick, and Saluda

3. Catawba Regional Planning Council - Chester, Lancaster, York, and Union. (Chester and Lancaster counties are in the SCDC Midlands Correctional Region.)

SCDC Midlands Region

4. Central Midlands Regional Planning Council - Fairfield, Lexington, Newberry, and Richland.

5. Lower Savannah Council of Governments - Aiken, Allendale, Bamberg, Barnwell, Calhoun, and Orangeburg. (Allendale County is in the SCDC Coastal Correctional Region.)

6. Santee-Lynches Council for Governments - Clarendon, Kershaw, Lee, and Sumter.

SCDC Coastal Correctional Region

7. Pee Dee Regional Council of Governments - Chesterfield, Darlington, Dillon, Florence, Marion, and Marlboro.

8. Waccamaw Regional Planning and Development Council - Georgetown, Horry, and Williamsburg.

9. Berkeley - Charleston - Dorchester Council of Governments - Berkeley, Charleston, and Dorchester.

10. Lowcountry Council of Governments - Beaufort, Colleton, Hampton, and Jasper.

## Appendix H

# Counties Comprising South Carolina Judicial Circuits

The General Assembly has divided the state into sixteen judicial circuits, and prescribed that one judge shall be elected from the first, second, sixth, twelfth, fourteenth, fifteenth, and sixteenth circuits, and two judges shall be elected from each of the others. These judges are elected by the General Assembly for a term of six years, as are six additional circuit judges without regard to county or circuit of residence. The Circuit Court is a general trial court with original jurisdiction in civil and criminal cases. Currently, the sixteen judicial circuits are composed of the following counties:

1: Calhoun...Dorchester...Orangeburg

2: Aiken...Bamberg...Barnwell

3: Clarendon...Lee...Sumter...Williamsburg

4: Chesterfield...Darlington...Dillon...Marlboro

5: Kershaw...Richland

6: Chester...Fairfield...Lancaster

7: Cherokee...Spartanburg

8: Abbeville...Greenwood...Laurens...Newberry

9: Charleston...Berkeley

10: Anderson...Oconee

11: Edgefield...Lexington...McCormick...Saluda

12: Florence...Marion

13: Greenville...Pickens

14: Allendale...Beaufort...Colleton...Hampton...Jasper

15: Georgetown...Horry

16: Union...York

| | |
|---|---|
| Total Number of Documents Printed | 430 |
| Cost Per Unit | $ 3.31 |
| Printing Cost - S.C. State Budget & Control Board (up to 255 copies) | $ 862.79 |
| Printing Cost - Individual Agency (requesting over 255 copies) | $ 563.93 |
| Total Printing Cost | $ 1426.72 |